July 17, 2019

Dear Members of Congress:

The undersigned organizations urge you to oppose the Raise the Wage Act (H.R. 582), which would set a $15 federal minimum wage by 2024. Alleviating poverty and raising the standard of living for all Americans is a laudable effort, but study and experience have shown that government-mandated minimum wages are inferior to market-determined wages and cause more harm than good.

A one-size-fits-all approach to setting a uniform, federal minimum wage will have disastrous effects on many workers and local and state economies, especially as earnings vary greatly geographically and from urban areas to non-urban.

As American Enterprise Institute scholar Mark Perry aptly states, a national minimum wage is really a “one-size-fits-none approach” because a $15 minimum wage would be harmful in expensive urban areas and smaller communities alike. However, the negative impacts felt in urban, high income areas would be even more pronounced in lower income and non-metro areas that have drastically different costs of living. For instance, in Fairfax and Loudoun County, Virginia, the median household income is over $110,000, but in Lincoln County, Montana, the median household income is only slightly above $35,000. Given the differing economic conditions between these areas, a federally-mandated minimum wage could create additional economic problems for people living in and moving across this areas.

A $15 minimum wage is a poor mechanism to lift the poor out of poverty. There are tradeoffs to raising the minimum wage, many of which do more harm to low-skilled workers than help. Unskilled or inexperienced workers are less attractive to businesses to hire when the minimum wage is set at a high level. Worse, a minimum wage that prices low-skilled workers out of a job has long-lasting effects by depriving them the opportunity to attain skills and increase their productivity by gaining on-the-job experience.

A study that examined data from the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth, which spans three decades, measures the benefit of getting an early start in the workforce. The findings show the importance of early work experience, which a $15 minimum wage would stunt. Young adults who merely took on part-time work during high school saw a wage premium over their unemployed peers. More importantly, the wage premium held over time: “Checking in when the respondents were in their 40s or 50s, the wage premium held up: The people who held a job in high school were still earning 9.4 percent more per hour.”
High minimum wages negatively impact employment. In a comprehensive review of credible minimum wage research produced over the past several decades, two economists, one from the Federal Reserve Board and one from University of California-Irvine, found that 85 percent of the analyses determined it had negative employment effects.

Recent analysis conducted by the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) on a $15 minimum wage that is phased-in by 2025 reinforces the above conclusion. In the worst-case scenario, 3.7 million workers would become jobless. According to the CBO’s median estimate, 1.3 million workers would lose their jobs. In addition, the CBO estimates a $15 minimum wage would ultimately “reduce total real income by about $9 billion in 2025.” In other words, total income in the United States would be greater without a minimum wage hike.

And, importantly, raising the minimum wage comes at an expense of the unemployed, businesses, and higher prices for consumers. A $15 minimum wage results in business owners’ income decreasing by $14 billion, earnings of unemployed individuals would decrease by $20 billion, and income of consumers would decrease by $39 billion, according to CBO estimates.

The undersigned organizations urge members of Congress to oppose the Raise the Wage Act and instead work to remove needless tax and regulatory barriers to work and cut red tape that makes it difficult to start a business.

Sincerely,

Trey Kovacs  
Labor Policy Analyst  
Competitive Enterprise Institute

James L. Martin  
Founder/Chairman  
60 Plus Association

Saulius “Saul” Anuzis  
President  
60 Plus Association

Lisa B. Nelson  
CEO  
ALEC Action

Phil Kerpen  
President  
American Commitment

Krisztina Pusok, Ph. D.  
Director of Policy and Research  
American Consumer Institute

Brent Wm. Gardner  
Chief Government Affairs Officer  
Americans for Prosperity

Tom Giovanetti  
President  
Americans for a Strong Economy

Grover Norquist  
President  
Americans for Tax Reform

Norman Singleton  
President  
Campaign for Liberty

Andrew F. Quinlan  
President  
Center for Freedom and Prosperity
Timothy Lee  
Senior Vice President of Legal and Public Affairs  
Center for Individual Freedom  

Olivia Grady  
Senior Fellow  
Center for Worker Freedom  

David McIntosh  
President  
Club for Growth  

Nathan A. Benefield  
Vice President & Chief Operating Officer  
Commonwealth Foundation  

Matthew Kandrach  
President  
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Tom Schatz  
President  
Council for Citizens Against Government Waste  

Robert Roper  
President  
Ethan Allen Institute  

Annette Meeks  
CEO  
Freedom Foundation of Minnesota  

Adam Brandon  
President  
FreedomWorks  

Victor Riches  
President & CEO  
Goldwater Institute  

Ray F. Chadwick  
Chairman  
Granite State Taxpayers  

Andresen Blom  
President  
Hawaiian Values  

Dr. Bob McClure  
President and CEO  
The James Madison Institute  

Seton Motley  
President  
Less Government  

Michael LaFaive  
Senior Director of Fiscal Policy  
Mackinac Center for Public Policy  

Pete Sepp  
President  
National Taxpayers Union  

Daniel Erspamer  
CEO  
Pelican Institute  

Karen Kerrigan  
President & CEO  
Small Business & Entrepreneurship Council  

David Williams  
President  
Taxpayers Protection Alliance  

Christian N. Braunlich  
President  
Thomas Jefferson Institute for Public Policy  

Paul Guppy  
Vice President for Research  
Washington Policy Center  

Cliff Maloney  
President  
Young Americans for Liberty