

EPA-388

Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US
08/29/2012 12:32 PM

To Michael Goo
cc Paul Balsarak, Lesley Schaaff, Robin Kime
bcc
Subject EGU NSPS timing

(b) (5) (DPP) [Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

EPA-321

Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US

To Michael Goo

06/21/2012 07:37 AM

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Query on EPA final rule re RFS Additional Fuel Pathways

(b) (5) (DPP)

A

From: Michael Goo**Sent:** 06/21/2012 12:53 AM EDT**To:** Alex Barron**Subject:** Fw: Query on EPA final rule re RFS Additional Fuel Pathways

There's an unholy alliance

From: "St John, Karen" [karen.stjohn@bp.com]**Sent:** 06/20/2012 10:49 PM CET**To:** Michael Goo**Cc:** "Scotti, Ruth" <Ruth.Scotti@bp.com>**Subject:** Query on EPA final rule re RFS Additional Fuel Pathways

Michael –

BP Biofuels has been working very constructively with OTAQ on qualifying 2 new cellulosic pathways in the RFS program – namely energy cane and napiergrass feedstocks. My understanding is that the rule should be going over to OMB shortly. I wanted to check in and see if you needed any additional information from BP Biofuels, and whether you could give us a steer on timing of the process.

BP Biofuels is committed to developing commercial production of cellulosic biofuels derived from energy cane and napiergrass. We are aiming to be build our first plant in Florida anticipating to be on-line by 2014. Our crop cultivation has been under way for the past 2 years as part of this plant.

Before we can move further with construction, we need to obtain the final rule approving these additional cellulosic pathways, which is on the critical path in order to meet a 2014 schedule.

We have really appreciated the work EPA has done on this rulemaking. If there is anything we can provide, please let me know (I am also copying my BP Biofuels colleague on this note, Ruth Scotti). We look forward to hearing from you soon on your best judgment on process moving forward for your final review and approval and submittal to OMB. Thank you.



(b) (5) deliberative

[Redacted text block]

[Redacted text block]

[Redacted text block]



EPA-286

Michael Goo/DC/USEPAUS

To "Alex Barron"

05/14/2012 09:58 PM

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: MATS Petitions

Keep confidential. Oh boy.

Richard Windsor

----- Original Message -----

From: Richard Windsor

Sent: 05/14/2012 09:28 PM EDT

To: Gina McCarthy; "Bob Perciasepe" <perciasepe.bob@epa.gov>; "Scott Fulton" <Fulton.Scott@epa.gov>; Michael Goo; "Bob Sussman" <Sussman.bob@epa.gov>; "Diane Thompson" <thompson.diane@epa.gov>; "Arvin Ganesan" <ganesan.arvin@epa.gov>

Cc: Janet McCabe; Joseph Goffman

Subject: Re: MATS Petitions

K

Gina McCarthy

----- Original Message -----

From: Gina McCarthy

Sent: 05/14/2012 09:27 PM EDT

To: Richard Windsor; "Bob Perciasepe" <perciasepe.bob@epa.gov>; "Scott Fulton" <Fulton.Scott@EPA.GOV>; Michael Goo; "Bob Sussman" <Sussman.bob@EPA.GOV>; "Diane Thompson" <Thompson.Diane@EPA.GOV>; "Arvin Ganesan" <Ganesan.Arvin@EPA.GOV>

Cc: Janet McCabe; Joseph Goffman

Subject: Re: MATS Petitions

Let's talk this through. (b) (5) deliberative

Richard Windsor

----- Original Message -----

From: Richard Windsor

Sent: 05/14/2012 09:02 PM EDT

To: Gina McCarthy; "Bob Perciasepe" <perciasepe.bob@epa.gov>; "Scott Fulton" <Fulton.Scott@epa.gov>; Michael Goo; "Bob Sussman" <Sussman.bob@epa.gov>; "Diane Thompson" <thompson.diane@epa.gov>; "Arvin Ganesan" <ganesan.arvin@epa.gov>

Cc: Janet McCabe; Joseph Goffman

Subject: Re: MATS Petitions

(b) (5) deliberative

Gina McCarthy

----- Original Message -----

From: Gina McCarthy

Sent: 05/14/2012 08:55 PM EDT

To: Richard Windsor; perciasepe.bob@epa.gov; Fulton.Scott@EPA.GOV; Michael Goo; Sussman.bob@EPA.GOV; Thompson.Diane@EPA.GOV; Ganesan.Arvin@EPA.GOV

Cc: Janet McCabe; Joseph Goffman

Subject: MATS Petitions

I believe that Scott may be advising you on the status of MATS petitions and litigation but I wanted to get it on your radar screen as well.

Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US

06/28/2011 03:16 PM

To Joseph Goffman

cc

bcc

Subject Re: TR Timing TPs and Contacts

Off the top of my head I know I don't have PSE&G - Eric Svensen and possibly Michael Meyers

Cindy Huang
(202) 564-7404

Joseph Goffman

1. Critical, high-impact rule that admini...

06/28/2011 03:12:43 PM

From: Joseph Goffman/DC/USEPA/US
To: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 06/28/2011 03:12 PM
Subject: TR Timing TPs and Contacts

(b) (5) DP

Next week will allow us to meet those goals.

Cindy -- can you please fill in the phone numbers below? Let me know ASAP if you don't have them, please. Thanks.

Environmental and Public Health Advocates

1. EDF -- Vickie Patton
2. ALA -- Paul Billings
3. Clean Air Task Force -- Ann Weeks
4. NRDC -- John Walke
5. Sierra Club -- John Coequyt

States

1. NACAA -- Bill Becker
2. NY AG -- Michael Meyers

Utilities

1. CEG -- Michael Bradley
2. Exelon -- John Rowe via Emily Duncan
- 3.. PSE and G -- Eric Svensen (sp?)

Joseph Goffman
Senior Counsel to the Assistant Administrator
Office of Air and Radiation

From: Joseph Goffman (b) (6)
Sent: Saturday, March 28, 2015 2:52 PM
To: McCabe, Janet; Goffman, Joseph
Cc: Administrator; Gina; Meiburg, Stan; KeyesFleming, Gwendolyn; Fritz, Matthew; Vaught, Laura; Reynolds, Thomas; Pieh, Luseni; Garbow, Avi; Rupp, Mark; Shaw, Betsy; Stewart, Lori; Niebling, William; Atkinson, Emily; Bond, Brian; Beauvais, Joel; Drinkard, Andrea; Millett, John
Subject: Re: OAR Hot List for Week of 3/30

plus Goffman.Joseph@epa.gov

On Sat, Mar 28, 2015 at 2:49 PM, McCabe, Janet <McCabe.Janet@epa.gov> wrote:


OAR Hot List
Week of March 30, 2015

(b) (5)

A large rectangular area of the document is completely redacted with black ink. The redaction covers the majority of the page's content, leaving only the header and footer visible.

Next week: Highlights include the last FERC technical conference in St Louis. I'll be heading out to deliver our final round of remarks. Region 7 has also arranged a side trip for me to do a bike tour of the trails and pedestrian pathways the Trailnet organization helped develop in EJ communities in North St Louis. The project is funded through our EJ Collaborative Problem Solving Cooperative Agreement Program. Joe and I will be meeting (via phone) with Secretary Peters from Kentucky and we have a discussion with EEI staff as followup to your breakfast. Joe will also be meeting with the Association of Home Appliance Manufacturers on their refrigerator replacement efforts, Arkansas state officials and a group from the National Rural Electric Cooperative Association (NRECA). He will also be meeting with Sue Tierney for a brainstorming session on approaches for addressing the interests of low-income communities as part of the Clean Power Plan.

(b) (5)

A rectangular area of the document is redacted with black ink, covering several lines of text.A rectangular area at the bottom of the page is redacted with black ink, covering a few lines of text.



FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

CONTACT: Press Office

November 15, 2013

[\(202\) 226-4972](tel:(202)226-4972)

Committee Leaders Request Withdrawal of EPA's Proposed Power Plant Standards Citing Prohibition Under Energy Policy Act

"...EPA's consideration of CCPI projects to determine that CCS for power plants is 'adequately demonstrated' is prohibited."

WASHINGTON, DC – House Energy and Commerce Committee leaders today wrote to Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy expressing concerns regarding EPA's proposed greenhouse gas New Source Performance Standards for new power plants that would require the installation of carbon capture and storage (CCS) technologies that are not commercially viable. The members believe the proposed standards go beyond the scope of the EPA's legal authority and are requesting the proposed rule be withdrawn.

EPA is proposing standards pursuant to Section 111 of the Clean Air Act, which provides that emissions standards must be achievable using "adequately demonstrated" technologies. EPA maintains that CCS technologies for coal-fired power plants have been "adequately demonstrated" based on three government-funded projects under construction or planned that are receiving assistance under the Department of Energy's Clean Coal Power Initiative (CCPI) and one other small-scale Canadian government-funded project. EPA Acting Assistant Administrator Janet McCabe confirmed the agency uses these projects as the basis for its determination during questioning at yesterday's Energy and Power Subcommittee hearing. **While EPA is using these projects to justify its proposed standards, the Energy Policy Act of 2005 prohibits EPA from considering technology used at CCPI projects as being "adequately demonstrated" for purposes of Section 111 of the Clean Air Act.** Based on these facts, the committee leaders concluded, "Under these provisions of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, EPA's consideration of CCPI projects to determine that CCS for coal-fired power plants is 'adequately demonstrated' is prohibited."

In the letter to McCarthy, House Energy and Commerce Committee Chairman Fred Upton (R-MI), Chairman Emeritus Joe Barton (R-TX), Energy and Power Subcommittee Chairman Ed Whitfield (R-KY), and subcommittee Vice Chairman Steve Scalise (R-LA) wrote, "In light of these statutory prohibitions, we request that the EPA's proposed rule, which has not yet been

To: Goo, Michael[Goo.Michael@epa.gov]
From: Schmidt, Lorie
Sent: Sat 11/16/2013 5:59:54 PM
Subject: Re: E and C letter

Ex. 5 - Deliberative and ACP

From: Goo, Michael
Sent: Saturday, November 16, 2013 11:31:28 AM
To: Schmidt, Lorie
Subject: Fw: E and C letter

Ex. 5 - Deliberative and ACP

From: Michael Goo Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Sent: Friday, November 15, 2013 6:18:25 PM
To: Goo, Michael
Subject: E and C letter

From: Energy and Commerce News [<mailto:EnergyandCommerceNews@mail.house.gov>]
Sent: Friday, November 15, 2013 4:14 PM
Subject: Committee Leaders Request Withdrawal of EPA's Proposed Power Plant Standards Citing Prohibition Under Energy Policy Act



COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE

Chairman Fred Upton
113th Congress



From: Goffman, Joseph
Sent: Tuesday, September 17, 2013 1:27 PM
To: Ashley, Jackie; Beauvais, Joel
Cc: Lubetsky, Jonathan; Terry, Sara; Culligan, Kevin
Subject: RE: DRAFT -- Hard CCS Qs

These are truly excellent; I'm tempted to sleep with a copy under my pillow every night for the rest of the week at least. It would be helpful, [REDACTED] (b) (5)

Great job.

Thanks.

From: Ashley, Jackie
Sent: Tuesday, September 17, 2013 11:28 AM
To: Beauvais, Joel; Goffman, Joseph
Cc: Lubetsky, Jonathan; Terry, Sara; Culligan, Kevin
Subject: DRAFT -- Hard CCS Qs

Joe and Joel –

Attached are Hard Qs about CCS for the Administrator's hearing prep. If you need anything more, please let Sara and me know and one of us will help track it down.

-Jackie

From: Lubetsky, Jonathan
Sent: Monday, September 16, 2013 10:15 AM
To: Krieger, Jackie; Friedman, Kristina; Ashley, Jackie; Terry, Sara
Subject: Hard Q&A for Administrator

The administrator has requested two sets of hard Q&A's for the hearing.

- (1) Hard Q&A on international climate (e.g. US action isn't going to matter etc.)
- (2) Hard Q&A on CCS

I am including Q&A from LPJ on US action alone. And Other relevant Q&A from her nomination QFRs. Please let me know how I can help coordinate.

(b) (5)

From: Mitchell, Ken
Sent: Thursday, March 05, 2015 7:53 PM
To: Goffman, Joseph;Tsirigotis, Peter;Harvey, Reid;McCabe, Janet;Page, Steve;Dunham, Sarah;Culligan, Kevin;Millett, John
Cc: Banister, Beverly;Kemker, Carol;Gettle, Jeaneanne
Subject: Fw: Ky. regulators walk tightrope on Clean Power Plan

Sorry for a second email, but here is the other article I thought you should be aware of.

From: Bragan, Mary Jo
Sent: Wednesday, March 4, 2015 1:26 PM
To: Mitchell, Ken
Cc: Wise, Allison
Subject: Ky. regulators walk tightrope on Clean Power Plan

Thought you would like to see this. (b) (5)

Ky. regulators walk tightrope on Clean Power Plan

Jean Chemnick, E&E reporter

Published: Wednesday, March 4, 2015

Kentucky regulators say they've figured out how they might write an implementation plan for the U.S. EPA Clean Power Plan without violating a state law that severely limits their options.

The Energy and Environment Cabinet hopes to avoid having EPA impose an implementation plan for curbing carbon dioxide emissions with a strategy that relies on retirements of coal-fired power plants that were already planned for the next 15 years.

The agency says those plant shutdowns could generate enough emission reductions to let Kentucky meet its 2030 EPA target.

Kentucky Energy and Environment Secretary Leonard Peters told a Washington, D.C.-area climate conference last week that his agency believes relying on plant shutdowns would not run afoul of House Bill 388, a state law that bars Kentucky from basing its plan on mandated fuel switching, renewable energy or other "systemwide" policies.

The bill -- inspired by the conservative American Legislative Exchange Council -- cleared both chambers of the state Legislature unanimously last year and was signed into law by Democratic Gov. Steve Beshear.

"Obviously, it's always in the background," Peters said of the new law.

Peters said his staff wouldn't complete a plan before the term-limited Beshear leaves office at year's end. His staff intends to hand the incoming administration an "80 percent" plan -- or set of recommendations -- on how to navigate between the state law and the need to provide EPA with a compliance outline by deadlines starting in 2016.

"Will the next governor take that and move with it? I don't know," Peters said. "But we want to give him an opportunity to look at what we have been thinking the last several years."

Kentucky Assistant Secretary for Climate Policy John Lyons said in January the state law would all but ensure that Kentucky will face the federal implementation plan EPA is writing ([Greenwire](#), Jan. 12).

The Kentucky law limits the state plan to requiring energy efficiency upgrades on site at coal-fired power plants, but that requirement means it will fall short of the reduction requirements EPA is seeking. EPA has warned it will use its authority to impose an emissions plan of its own if states lag.

But Peters said his agency's lawyers now believe the state law may not prevent Kentucky from writing a plan that assumes expected reductions from coal retirements caused by unrelated market forces and policies, such as EPA's mercury rule for power plants.

These may not technically, he said, be the "inside the fence line" reductions at power plants envisioned by the framers of the state law, but they are not mandates, either. And current market conditions and EPA's proposed carbon dioxide standard for new power plants should guarantee that the retiring units are replaced by combined-cycle natural gas plants rather than new coal -- an emission savings Kentucky can claim credit for.

"I think the general feeling is that we are going to move forward with some recommendations and some thoughts that are a little bit broader, and see whether or not that can fit in with the constraints of the legislation that we have, and make the

Non-Responsive

From: Meiburg, Stan
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2015 10:22 AM
To: McCabe, Janet
Cc: Anderson, Denise;Goffman, Joseph;Atkinson, Emily
Subject: Re: Teleconference Request with Secretary Len Peters

(b) (5)

Stan

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 24, 2015, at 11:21 PM, McCabe, Janet <McCabe.Janet@epa.gov> wrote:

Stan--Joe and/or I would be glad to join you if the schedule works and if you'd like us to. Commissioner Peters made some extremely gracious comments about Epa and the 111d rule when he spoke at the Climate Leaders event this week.

From: Meiburg, Stan
Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2015 9:00 AM
To: Higgins, Wendy (EEC)
Cc: Anderson, Denise
Subject: RE: Teleconference Request with Secretary Len Peters

Thanks, Wendy. I'll see Len later this morning, too.

Does he want me to try to loop in some of the EPA folks he's talked with in the past (e.g., Janet McCabe/Joe Goffman)?

Stan

*A. Stanley Meiburg, Ph.D.
Acting Deputy Administrator
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
MC-1102A
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20460*

EPA-77

Michael Goo/DC/USEPA/US

Sent by: Robin Kime

06/30/2011 11:40 AM

To Bob Perciasepe, Bob Sussman, Gina McCarthy, Scott Fulton

cc Lorie Schmidt, Joseph Goffman

bcc Alex Barron, Shannon Kenny

Subject Utility GHG NSPS

NSPS Team:

In preparation for our meeting this evening, I wanted to offer a list of issues within the Utility GHG NSPS that may merit further discussion.

(b) (5) (DPP)
[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

215.814.2117
talley.david@epa.gov

----- Forwarded by David Talley/R3/USEPA/US on 09/13/2011 04:20 PM -----

From: Kathleen Cox/R3/USEPA/US
To: Himanshu Vyas/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: David Talley/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 09/13/2011 04:17 PM
Subject: Re: Fw: IMPORTANT -- Status of Unconstructed Coal Plant permits

This is fine, thanks.

Kathleen (Anderson) Cox, Associate Director
Office of Permits and Air Toxics (3AP10)
U. S. EPA - Region III
1650 Arch Street
Phila. PA 19103
ph: (215) 814-2173
fax: (215) 814-2134
email: cox.kathleen@epa.gov

Himanshu Vyas (b)(5) (DPP) 09/13/2011 02:00:58 PM

From: Himanshu Vyas/R3/USEPA/US
To: Kathleen Cox/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: David Talley/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 09/13/2011 02:00 PM
Subject: Re: Fw: IMPORTANT -- Status of Unconstructed Coal Plant permits

(b)(5) (DPP) [Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Himanshu Vyas

227 / 519

75%

Environmental Engineer
 U.S. EPA, Region III
 Office of Permits and Air Toxics (3AP10)
 1650 Arch Street
 Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

Phone: 215-814-2112
 FAX: 215-814-2134

"This email may contain material that is confidential, privileged and/or attorney work product and is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies."

Kathleen Cox

(b)(5) (DPP)

09/12/2011 08:28:12 AM

From: Kathleen Cox/R3/USEPA/US
 To: David Talley/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
 Cc: Emlyn Velez-Rosa/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Gerallyn Duke/R3/USEPA/US, Himanshu Vyas/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Mike Gordon/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Paul Wentworth/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
 Date: 09/12/2011 08:28 AM
 Subject: Re: Fw: IMPORTANT -- Status of Unconstructed Coal Plant permits

(b)(5) (DPP)

Thanks.

Kathleen (Anderson) Cox, Associate Director
 Office of Permits and Air Toxics (3AP10)
 U. S. EPA - Region III
 1650 Arch Street
 Phila. PA 19103
 ph: (215) 814-2173
 fax: (215) 814-2134
 email: cox.kathleen@epa.gov

David Talley

Hi All- (b)(5) (DPP)

09/12/2011 08:17:48 AM

From: David Talley/R3/USEPA/US
 To: Kathleen Cox/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Mike Gordon/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Gerallyn Duke/R3/USEPA/US, Himanshu Vyas/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Paul Wentworth/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Emlyn Velez-Rosa/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
 Date: 09/12/2011 08:17 AM
 Subject: Fw: IMPORTANT -- Status of Unconstructed Coal Plant permits

Hi All-

(b)(5) (DPP)

Thanks for your help!
 -Dave

David Talley
 Environmental Engineer

EPA Region III
Office of Permits & Air Toxics 3AP10
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
215.814.2117
talley.david@epa.gov

----- Forwarded by David Talley/R3/USEPA/US on 09/12/2011 08:10 AM -----

From: Dave Svendsgaard/RTP/USEPA/US
To: Adina Wiley/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Bonnie Braganza/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Christopher Razzazian/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, David Talley/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Donald Dah/R1/USEPA/US@EPA, Frank Jon/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Gerardo Rios/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Gregg Worley/R4/USEPA/US@EPA, Heather Abrams/R4/USEPA/US@EPA, Jeffrey Robinson/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Jessica Montanez/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Jon Knodel/R7/USEPA/US@EPA, Joseph Lapka/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Kathleen Cox/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Kathleen Fomey/R4/USEPA/US@EPA, Laura Yannayon/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, MarkA Smith/R7/USEPA/US@EPA, Melanie Magee/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Mike Gordon/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Mike Owens/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Mike Sewell/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Pamela Blakley/R5/USEPA/US@EPA, Pat Nair/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Raj Rao/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Angelbeck/R5/USEPA/US@EPA, Suilin Chan/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Ward Burns/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Kevin Culligan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Nick Hutson/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 09/09/2011 04:57 PM
Subject: IMPORTANT -- Status of Unconstructed Coal Plant permits

Regional PAT -

(b)(5) (DPP)
[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

286 / 519



75%



EPA-188

Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US

03/10/2012 01:10 PM

To "Michael Goo"

cc "Shannon Kenny", "Paul Balserak", "Barry Elman"

bcc

Subject Fw: revised -- Fw: Transitional sources -- latest draft

From: Scott Fulton
Sent: 03/10/2012 11:31 AM EST
To: Howard Hoffman
Cc: Alex Barron; Elliott Zenick; Joel Beauvais; Joseph Goffman; Kevin Culligan; Kevin Mclean; Nick Hutson; Patricia Embrey
Subject: Re: revised -- Fw: Transitional sources -- latest draft

Hi Folks -- I took a look at the clean version of the redraft. I thought it generally looked good, and am much appreciative of all the hard work that has gone into it. Please find attached a version that includes nits and nats (typo correction, etc.) but also a couple of proposed inserts (b) (5) (DPP), (b) (5) (ACP)

Thanks again for all the great work!

Scott

-----Howard Hoffman/DC/USEPA/US wrote: -----

To: Scott Fulton/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Joel Beauvais/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Patricia Embrey/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Kevin McLean/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Elliott Zenick/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Joseph Goffman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Kevin Culligan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Nick Hutson/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA
 From: Howard Hoffman/DC/USEPA/US
 Date: 03/09/2012 09:30PM
 Subject: revised -- Fw: Transitional sources -- latest draft

Here's the next version of the transitionals draft. It includes comments from Patricia and a new legal argument (b) (5) (DPP), (b) (5) (ACP)

The main changes from the last draft are highlighted in yellow.

In this track changes draft, transitionals are on pp. 145-180 or so

(See attached file: EO 12866 EGU GHG NSPS proposal FR notice 03_02_12_clean_transitionals-revised-03-09-12_7pm.docx)

Because the track changes draft is getting hard to read, here is a separate document with a clean version of the (b) (5) (DPP), (b) (5) (ACP) (with all of the track changes) accepted.

(See attached file: EO 12866 EGU GHG NSPS proposal FR notice 03_02_12_clean_transitionals-revised-03-09-12_7pm_clean.docx)

Please respond by COB Tuesday, Sept 13. (b)(5) (DPP)

Thanks.

Dave

[attachment "Transitional Sources.docx" deleted by Kathleen Cox/R3/USEPA/US]

EPA-145

Michael Goo/DC/USEPA/US
09/16/2011 04:58 PM

To: Barry Elman
cc: Alex Barron, Paul Balserek, Shannon Kenny
bcc:
Subject: Re: Preliminary findings on transitional sources

thanks Barry

Barry Elman Michael, (b)(5) (DPP) 09/16/2011 03:40:01 PM

From: Barry Elman/DC/USEPA/US
To: Michael Goo/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Shannon Kenny/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Paul Balserek/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 09/16/2011 03:40 PM
Subject: Preliminary findings on transitional sources

Michael,

(b)(5) (DPP)
[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

(b)(5) (DPP)
[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Barry

[attachment "Preliminary Transitional Source Info -- bse -- 9-16-11.docx" deleted by Michael Goo/DC/USEPA/US]

285

/ 519

100%

EPA-187

Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US

03/09/2012 05:14 PM

To Michael Goo

cc Paul Balserak, Barry Elman, Shannon Kenny

bcc

Subject Fw: Transitional sources -- latest draft

----- Forwarded by Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US on 03/09/2012 05:14 PM -----

From: Howard Hoffman/DC/USEPA/US
To: Scott Fulton/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Joel Beauvais/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Patricia Embrey/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Kevin McLean/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Elliott Zenick/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Joseph Goffman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Kevin Culligan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 03/09/2012 05:13 PM
Subject: Transitional sources -- latest draft

Here's the latest draft. It incorporates suggestions from Joel, Elliott, Kevin C, and Alex.

There is still some work to do on this draft, some of which will be incorporating some more comments on the previous draft that I won't get until a bit later. Also, there's a legal issue that we're still working through, (b) (5) (DPP), (b) (5) (ACP)



EO 12866 EGU GHG NSPS proposal FR notice 03_02_12_clean_transitionals-revised-03-09-12.docx

Howard J. Hoffman EPA-OGC-ARLO
(202) 564-5582 (v); -5603 (fax); (240) 401-9721 (cell)
The contents of this e-mail and any attachments to it
may be attorney-client or deliberative-process privileged.

WIF
Ex (b)(5) DPP, ACP

From my standpoint, it's a bit easier if you make changes on the track-changes draft, but if you prefer to do so on the clean version, that's OK.

Howard J. Hoffman EPA-OGC-ARLO

(202) 564-5582 (v); -5603 (fax); (240) 401-9721 (cell)

The contents of this e-mail and any attachments to it may be attorney-client or deliberative-process privileged.

----- Forwarded by Howard Hoffman/DC/USEPA/US on 03/09/2012 09:24 PM -----

From: Howard Hoffman/DC/USEPA/US

To: Scott Fulton/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Joel Beauvais/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Patricia Embrey/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Kevin McLean/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Elliott Zenick/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Joseph Goffman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Kevin Culligan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 03/09/2012 05:13 PM

Subject: Transitional sources -- latest draft

Here's the latest draft. It incorporates suggestions from Joel, Elliott, Kevin C, and Alex.

There is still some work to do on this draft, some of which will be incorporating some more comments on the previous draft that I won't get until a bit later. Also, there's a legal issue that we're still working through. (b) (5) (DPP), (b) (5) (ACP)

[attachment "EO 12866 EGU GHG NSPS proposal FR notice 03_02_12_clean_transitionals-revised-03-09-12.docx" deleted by Howard Hoffman/DC/USEPA/US]

Howard J. Hoffman EPA-OGC-ARLO

(202) 564-5582 (v); -5603 (fax); (240) 401-9721 (cell)

The contents of this e-mail and any attachments to it may be attorney-client or deliberative-process privileged.

[attachment "EO 12866 EGU GHG NSPS proposal FR notice 03_02_12_clean_transitionals-revised-03-09-12_7pm.docx" removed by Scott Fulton/DC/USEPA/US]

[attachment "EO 12866 EGU GHG NSPS proposal FR notice 03_02_12_clean_transitionals-revised-03-09-12_7pm_clean.docx" removed by Scott Fulton/DC/USEPA/US]

EPA-313

Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US

06/07/2012 12:48 PM

To "Paul Balsarak", "Barry Elman"

cc "goo michael"

bcc

Subject Fw: NSPS transitionals

Sandy Germann

----- Original Message -----

From: Sandy Germann**Sent:** 06/07/2012 12:42 PM EDT**To:** Alex Barron**Subject:** Re: NSPS transitionals

Alex, rather than sending a 23 page doc...do you want to get the brief online? It's at:

http://insideepa.com//index.php?option=com_iwfile&file=jun2012/epa2012_1020.pdf

Alex Barron

I heard there was an inside EPA story a...

06/07/2012 10:48:06 AM

From: Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US
To: Sandy Germann/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 06/07/2012 10:48 AM
Subject: NSPS transitionals

I heard there was an inside EPA story about a group of folks planning to build new coal plants who have formed their own group and are filing briefs. Can you track down that story and (if possible) the briefs?

Thanks,
A

EPA-139

Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US
09/14/2011 01:06 PM

To Barry Elman, Paul Balserak
cc Shannon Kenny, Michael Goo
bcc
Subject Permitted, not constructed coal

Barry - (b)(5) (DPP)

Alex

----- Forwarded by Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US on 09/14/2011 01:03 PM -----

From: Kevin Culligan/DC/USEPA/US
To: Barry Elman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 09/14/2011 01:02 PM
Subject: One in Region 3

Barry,

(b)(5) (DPP)

- Kevin

----- Forwarded by Kevin Culligan/DC/USEPA/US on 09/14/2011 12:31 PM -----

From: Dave Svendsgaard/RTP/USEPA/US
To: Nick Hutson/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Kevin Culligan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 09/14/2011 12:22 PM
Subject: Fw: IMPORTANT -- Status of Unconstructed Coal Plant permits

(b)(5) (DPP)

----- Forwarded by Dave Svendsgaard/RTP/USEPA/US on 09/13/2011 04:26 PM -----

From: David Talley/R3/USEPA/US
To: Dave Svendsgaard/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 09/13/2011 04:21 PM
Subject: Fw: IMPORTANT -- Status of Unconstructed Coal Plant permits

Dave -

(b)(5) (DPP)

David Talley
Environmental Engineer
EPA Region III
Office of Permits & Air Toxics 3AP10
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103



Non-Responsive

From: Meiburg, Stan
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2015 10:22 AM
To: McCabe, Janet
Cc: Anderson, Denise; Goffman, Joseph; Atkinson, Emily
Subject: Re: Teleconference Request with Secretary Len Peters

(b) (5)

Stan

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 24, 2015, at 11:21 PM, McCabe, Janet <McCabe.Janet@epa.gov> wrote:

Stan--Joe and/or I would be glad to join you if the schedule works and if you'd like us to. Commissioner Peters made some extremely gracious comments about EPA and the 111d rule when he spoke at the Climate Leaders event this week.

From: Meiburg, Stan
Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2015 9:00 AM
To: Higgins, Wendy (EEC)
Cc: Anderson, Denise
Subject: RE: Teleconference Request with Secretary Len Peters

Thanks, Wendy. I'll see Len later this morning, too.

Does he want me to try to loop in some of the EPA folks he's talked with in the past (e.g., Janet McCabe/Joe Goffman)?

Stan

*A. Stanley Meiburg, Ph.D.
Acting Deputy Administrator
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
MC-1102A
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20460*

From: Higgins, Wendy (EEC) [<mailto:wendy.Higgins@ky.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2015 8:37 AM
To: Meiburg, Stan

From: Goffman, Joseph
Sent: Sunday, September 15, 2013 10:03 PM
To: Tsirigotis, Peter;McCabe, Janet
Cc: Page, Steve;Embrey, Patricia
Subject: Re: PM monitoring issue in the reconsidered criteria EGU NSPS

What are the other issues in play in this litigation (which is on the MATS new source reconsideration rule, right)? Are we litigating those issues? Thanks.

From: Tsirigotis, Peter
Sent: Sunday, September 15, 2013 2:41:36 PM
To: McCabe, Janet; Goffman, Joseph
Cc: Page, Steve; Embrey, Patricia
Subject: PM monitoring issue in the reconsidered criteria EGU NSPS

Hi Janet/Joe Below is a brief description of an issue we are discussing with UARG while we are preparing to brief the new source MATS and NSPS suits. (b) (5)

[Redacted]

We have a filing due this tuesday so getting any reaction by early monday would be great.

(b) (5)
[Redacted]

From: Tsirigotis, Peter
Sent: Friday, September 06, 2013 4:33 PM
To: Goffman, Joseph
Subject: RE: Talked to Donniger

I believe that the strong argument he made was regarding ability (b) (5) [Redacted]

From: Goffman, Joseph
Sent: Friday, September 06, 2013 2:01 PM
To: Tsirigotis, Peter
Subject: FW: Talked to Donniger

At one point, earlier this summer, did he ever make an argument on 3 that we thought had some value to consider? Thanks.

From: Culligan, Kevin
Sent: Friday, September 06, 2013 1:53 PM
To: Goffman, Joseph; Tsirigotis, Peter
Subject: Talked to Donniger

Per his voice-mail to Joe, he cared about:

1. (b) (5) [Redacted]

From: Culligan, Kevin
Sent: Friday, August 30, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Tsirigotis, Peter;Goffman, Joseph
Subject: RE: Draft Revisions Look Good

Thanks Joe. We'll make sure you and Peter both get copies of what we send over. It will be a pretty big package including:

Preamble and reg text (with and without red-line) Roadmap to where we addressed interagency comments Transitional source TSD

-----Original Message-----

From: Tsirigotis, Peter
Sent: Friday, August 30, 2013 1:17 PM
To: Goffman, Joseph; Culligan, Kevin
Subject: Re: Draft Revisions Look Good

Thx joe. We have a call set up for tuesday afternoon for me to go over doe's comments with the team. I can check in with you after that.

From: Goffman, Joseph
Sent: Friday, August 30, 2013 1:14:16 PM
To: Culligan, Kevin
Cc: Tsirigotis, Peter
Subject: Draft Revisions Look Good

Fine here to send them off. I read only the redline text, and plan (hope) to read the entire thing this weekend.

We should talk about the DOE discussion Peter and I had yesterday and also about whether or not [REDACTED]

Thanks.

EPA-46

Michael Goo/DC/USEPA/US
05/18/2011 06:40 AM

To "kenny shannon", "Alex Barron"
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: GHG NSPS Briefing

(b) (5) (DPP) [Redacted]

Gina McCarthy

----- Original Message -----

From: Gina McCarthy
Sent: 05/18/2011 01:50 AM EDT
To: Michael Goo; Joseph Goffman; Lorie Schmidt
Subject: GHG NSPS Briefing

Folks - below is the kind of short email that I send to the Administrator following option selection. Feel free to provide feedback or language changes. Just keep in mind that it's a quick and dirty touching base kind of email. And by the way - thanks for a very good briefing today (I mean yesterday).

(b) (5) (DPP) [Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

EPA-51

Michael Goo/DC/USEPA/US
05/31/2011 04:09 PM

To Shannon Kenny, Alex Barron, Paul Balsarak
cc Robin Kime
bcc
Subject Nsps new source options

Hey guys—I wrote up the attached for circulation to gina, scott and the bobs-- (b) (5) (DPP)
[Redacted]

nspnewsources.docx

WIF
Ex (b)(5) DPP

Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
Office: (919) 541-1045
Cell: (919) 306-2290
Fax: (919) 541-5450

Email: wayland.robertj@epa.gov

DRAFT/Deliberative Document - FOIA Exempt

From: Santiago, Juan
Sent: Wednesday, September 18, 2013 10:14 AM
To: Culligan, Kevin; Wayland, Robertj; Hutson, Nick; Fellner, Christian; Hoffman, Howard; Johnson, Mary; Lifland, David; McLamb, Marguerite
Subject: RE: Status update on rule

(b) (5) [Redacted]

From: Culligan, Kevin
Sent: Wednesday, September 18, 2013 9:46 AM
To: Wayland, Robertj; Hutson, Nick; Fellner, Christian; Hoffman, Howard; Johnson, Mary; Lifland, David; Santiago, Juan; McLamb, Marguerite
Subject: Status update on rule

- Here is what I know about where we are:

(b) (5) [Redacted]



-----Original Message-----

From: Tsirigotis, Peter
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2013 3:38 PM
To: Goffman, Joseph; McCabe, Janet
Subject: Re: Webinar

(b) (5) [Redacted]

From: Goffman, Joseph
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2013 3:22:43 PM
To: Tsirigotis, Peter; McCabe, Janet
Subject: Re: Webinar

(b) (5) [Redacted]

From: Tsirigotis, Peter
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2013 3:16:33 PM
To: McCabe, Janet; Goffman, Joseph
Subject: Webinar

Reflecting on carol kemper's question (b) (5) [Redacted]
Thoughts?

EPA-93

Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US
07/21/2011 12:31 PM

To Michael Goo
cc Shannon Kenny
bcc
Subject Tar sands life cycle emissions

(b) (5) (DPP)
[Redacted]

A
----- Forwarded by Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US on 07/21/2011 12:23 PM -----

From: Ann Wolverton/DC/USEPA/US
To: "Alex Barron" <Barron.Alex@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: "Peter Nagelhout" <Nagelhout.Peter@epamail.epa.gov>, "Al McGartland" <McGartland.Al@epamail.epa.gov>
Date: 07/20/2011 05:33 PM
Subject: XXL text

(b) (5) (DPP)
[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

EPA-91

Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US
07/20/2011 05:45 PM

To: Michael Goo
cc: Shannon Kenny
bcc:
Subject: Fw: KXL and lifecycle emissions

I am happy to send this to OECA if you like...

----- Forwarded by Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US on 07/20/2011 05:42 PM -----

From: Ann Wolverton/DC/USEPA/US
To: "Alex Barron" <Barron.Alex@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: "Peter Nagelhout" <Nagelhout.Peter@epamail.epa.gov>, "Al McGartland" <McGartland.Al@epamail.epa.gov>
Date: 07/20/2011 05:33 PM
Subject: XXL text

Hi Alex,

(b)(5) (DPP)
[Redacted]

Ann

(b) (5) (DPP)
[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]



Goo FOIA 2015-008156 Interim 3

To: Ex. 6 - Michael Goo
From: Goo, Michael
Sent: Sun 11/17/2013 5:57:28 PM
Subject: Fw: E and C letter

From: Schmidt, Lorie
Sent: Saturday, November 16, 2013 12:59:54 PM
To: Goo, Michael
Subject: Re: E and C letter

Ex. 5 - Deliberative & ACP

From: Goo, Michael
Sent: Saturday, November 16, 2013 11:31:28 AM
To: Schmidt, Lorie
Subject: Fw: E and C letter

Ex. 5 - Deliberative & ACP

From: Michael Goo Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Sent: Friday, November 15, 2013 6:18:25 PM
To: Goo, Michael
Subject: E and C letter

From: Energy and Commerce News [<mailto:EnergyandCommerceNews@mail.house.gov>]
Sent: Friday, November 15, 2013 4:14 PM
Subject: Committee Leaders Request Withdrawal of EPA's Proposed Power Plant Standards Citing Prohibition Under Energy Policy Act



To: Schmidt, Lorie[Schmidt.Lorie@epa.gov]
From: Goo, Michael
Sent: Sun 11/17/2013 3:53:35 AM
Subject: Re: E and C letter

Thanks Lorie. Ex. 5 - Deliberative Thanks for the reply on a
saturday.

From: Schmidt, Lorie
Sent: Saturday, November 16, 2013 12:59:54 PM
To: Goo, Michael
Subject: Re: E and C letter

Ex. 5 - Deliberative & ACP

From: Goo, Michael
Sent: Saturday, November 16, 2013 11:31:28 AM
To: Schmidt, Lorie
Subject: Fw: E and C letter

Ex. 5 - Deliberative & ACP

From: Michael Goo Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Sent: Friday, November 15, 2013 6:18:25 PM
To: Goo, Michael
Subject: E and C letter

From: Energy and Commerce News [<mailto:EnergyandCommerceNews@mail.house.gov>]
Sent: Friday, November 15, 2013 4:14 PM
Subject: Committee Leaders Request Withdrawal of EPA's Proposed Power Plant Standards Citing
Prohibition Under Energy Policy Act



I think he walked away feeling most re-assured about 1, reasonably reassured about 2 and least re-assured about 3.

He is almost undoubtedly going to continue to call Joe (b) (5)

you were extremely busy.

- Kevin



From: Tsirigotis, Peter
Sent: Friday, September 06, 2013 4:33 PM
To: Goffman, Joseph
Subject: RE: Talked to Donniger

I believe that the strong argument he made was regarding ability (b) (5) [Redacted]

From: Goffman, Joseph
Sent: Friday, September 06, 2013 2:01 PM
To: Tsirigotis, Peter
Subject: FW: Talked to Donniger

At one point, earlier this summer, did he ever make an argument on 3 that we thought had some value to consider? Thanks.

From: Culligan, Kevin
Sent: Friday, September 06, 2013 1:53 PM
To: Goffman, Joseph; Tsirigotis, Peter
Subject: Talked to Donniger

Per his voice-mail to Joe, he cared about:

1. (b) (5) [Redacted]



From: Goffman, Joseph
Sent: Friday, August 30, 2013 3:39 PM
To: Ketcham-Colwill, Nancy
Subject: Re: sorry to bug

Sure. Go ahead. ; -). Thanks.

From: Ketcham-Colwill, Nancy
Sent: Friday, August 30, 2013 3:24:58 PM
To: Goffman, Joseph
Subject: RE: sorry to bug

Can I use the "congenial paths forward" language or really all of your language, but I particularly like that phrase . . .

From: Goffman, Joseph
Sent: Friday, August 30, 2013 2:51 PM
To: Ketcham-Colwill, Nancy
Subject: Re: sorry to bug

No prob. Can you add a sentence (b) (5)

[Redacted]

Thanks.

From: Ketcham-Colwill, Nancy
Sent: Friday, August 30, 2013 2:41:02 PM
To: Goffman, Joseph
Subject: sorry to bug

But I was supposed to ask you yesterday whether there's anything to stick in the Hot Issues list about your meeting with (b) (5) Hope Barnard is lovely.



859 / 873

85.8%



Tools

Fill & Sign

Co

To: Schmidt, Lorie[Schmidt.Lorie@epa.gov]
From: Goo, Michael
Sent: Sat 11/16/2013 4:31:29 PM
Subject: Fw: E and C letter

Ex. 5 - Deliberative & ACP

From: Michael Goo **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Friday, November 15, 2013 6:18:25 PM
To: Goo, Michael
Subject: E and C letter

From: Energy and Commerce News [<mailto:EnergyandCommerceNews@mail.house.gov>]
Sent: Friday, November 15, 2013 4:14 PM
Subject: Committee Leaders Request Withdrawal of EPA's Proposed Power Plant Standards Citing Prohibition Under Energy Policy Act



COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE

Chairman Fred Upton
113th Congress

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

CONTACT: Press Office

November 15, 2013

[\(202\) 226-4972](tel:(202)226-4972)

Committee Leaders Request Withdrawal of EPA's Proposed Power Plant Standards Citing Prohibition Under Energy Policy Act