Authorizing Automated Vehicle Platooning
A Guide for State Legislators
By Marc Scribner

Automated vehicles have captured the public’s imagination in recent years following successful on-road demonstrations by developers such as Google.¹ The prospect of enjoying the benefits of personal mobility without the traditional responsibilities of driving has led to overly broad pronouncements in the popular press based on scant information about the technology and its potential uses. One writer recently suggested that automated vehicles should be banned because they allegedly threaten public transit and the environment,² while another argued that automated vehicles should be mandated by 2018 because they promise safety benefits over traditional driving.³ These are extreme examples, but are indicative of the range of uninformed opinions that plague much of the public debate on road vehicle automation.

However, some scholars have taken a systematic approach to the technology and its applications, developing inventories of potential problems and working to address them.⁴ In the legal realm, one key development was the publication of a 2012 paper by Bryant Walker Smith, law professor at the University of South Carolina and chair of the Transportation Research Board’s Standing Committee on Emerging Technology Law at the National Academies. Smith concluded that in most U.S. jurisdictions, automated vehicles are themselves not prohibited by current laws, although he highlighted several potential conflicts in state motor vehicle codes that may preclude certain operations.⁵ One problem he identified is found in states’ following-too-closely (FTC) statutes, which outlaw many automated vehicle platooning applications.

Automated vehicle platooning—often referred to as road trains, connected automated vehicles, or cooperative automated vehicles—is one of the more promising potential functions of automated vehicle technology. Platooned automated vehicles can travel close together at highway speeds, mitigating traffic congestion, improving fuel economy, and increasing vehicle throughput without costly physical roadway capacity expansions.⁶ In the freight sector, trucking companies are eager to move their goods with fewer workers, addressing both the chronic shortage of qualified commercial drivers and permanently reducing labor costs.

With the coming advent of automated vehicles, numerous sections of state motor vehicle codes likely will need revision if we are to take advantage of the full range of benefits offered by automated vehicles.

State FTC rules vary by class and type. The three FTC rule classes are:

---
- Cars;
- Heavy trucks; and
- Caravans (sometimes called motorcades).

The four FTC rule types are:

- “Reasonable and prudent;”
- Time;
- Distance; and
- “Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger.”

A “reasonable and prudent” rule requires a vehicle operator to follow the vehicle in front of her while allowing for sufficient space to stop in an emergency. This is an inherently subjective standard that grants law enforcement a large degree of leeway. It is the most common FTC rule for cars and is sometimes combined with other rule types.

Time-based FTC rules specify the time interval between vehicles, such as by forbidding drivers from following no less than “at least two seconds behind the vehicle being followed.” This is the least common rule type and is limited to just two jurisdictions, Alaska and Utah.

Distance rules specify the precise safe following distance either by codifying a fixed interval or, in the case of Alabama, a proportional interval requiring that “the driver of a vehicle shall leave a distance of at least 20 feet for each 10 miles per hour of speed between the vehicle that he or she is driving and the vehicle that he or she is following.” This rule type is most common among the heavy truck and caravan classes.

The “sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger” rule, which is most common among the heavy truck and caravan classes, aims to allow other road users to pass other vehicles safely and enter and exit the roadway.

A few U.S. jurisdictions lack explicit FTC rules, relying instead on broader reckless driving statutes. In addition, a number of jurisdictions do not fully define all rule classes, which means the “car” rule class becomes the default for all vehicles. Further, some jurisdictions distinguish between road types.

A small number of jurisdictions have functionally identical rule types featuring different terminology. But these are exceptions to the rule, not the norm. As a result, authorizing automated vehicle platoons in each jurisdiction merely requires exempting automated vehicle platoons from existing FTC rules. Most class-specific FTC rules are contained within a single statutory section. However, this is slightly more complicated in some jurisdictions, such as those in which FTC rules are spread through two or three class-specific statutory sections. For example, California’s FTC rules are divided into three separate statutory sections for cars, heavy trucks, and caravans. In addition, two jurisdictions, Alaska and Massachusetts, codify their FTC rules within administrative, rather than statutory codes.

---

Utah was the first state to exempt and authorize the testing of connective vehicles in 2015. Florida followed suit in early 2016, and other jurisdictions are considering similar moves. This handbook provides a comprehensive national overview of FTC statutes and regulations and recommends specific changes for each jurisdiction.

It presents two model amendments for each jurisdiction. The first, the “strong amendment,” is self-executing and would preclude the state from promulgating any regulations restricting automated vehicle platoons. This is the most liberal, strongly pro-market method of authorizing automated vehicle platooning. The second, the “weak amendment,” would require agency implementation and grant state motor vehicle authorities discretion in how they promulgate platooning FTC rules, while providing a statutory backstop aimed at preventing excessively burdensome regulation.

From a pro-market perspective, the strong amendment offers the greatest protections against potential burdensome regulations. However, some legislatures may prefer to authorize platooning under a regulated rollout and thus prefer the weak amendment. In the latter case, lawmakers who opt for agency action will need to examine what additional agency resources may be required to carry out the weak amendment’s administrative mandate.

Finally, readers should note that this report is based upon an inventory of state laws as published rather than as interpreted by the courts. A legal analysis of that type is beyond the scope of this report.

---

9 Utah Code § 41-6a-711(2)(b).
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Alabama

Citation: Ala. Code § 32-5A-89

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Distance, proportional interval of 20 feet for each 10 mph of speed

Heavy Trucks: Distance, 300 feet

Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:

Ala. Code § 32-5A-89 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:

Ala. Code § 32-5A-89 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Alabama State Law Enforcement Agency. The agency shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Alaska

Citation: Alaska Admin. Code tit. 13, § 02.090

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Time, 2 seconds

Heavy Trucks: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:

Alaska Admin. Code tit. 13, § 02.090 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:

Alaska Admin. Code tit. 13, § 02.090 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Administration, Division of Motor Vehicles. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Arizona

Citation: Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 28-730

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Reasonable and prudent

Heavy Trucks: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:

Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 28-730 is amended by adding subsection (D), which reads as follows:

D. The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:

Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 28-730 is amended by adding subsection (D), which reads as follows:

D. The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Transportation. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Arkansas

Citation: Ark. Code § 27-51-305

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class
Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Distance, 200 feet
Caravans: Undefined

Strong Amendment:
Ark. Code § 27-51-305 is amended by adding subsection (c), which reads as follows:

(c) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
Ark. Code § 27-51-305 is amended by adding subsection (c), which reads as follows:

(c) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the State Police. The State Police shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
California

Citations
Cars: Cal. Veh. Code § 21703
Heavy Trucks: Cal. Veh. Code § 21704
Caravans: Cal. Veh. Code § 21705

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class
Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Distance, 300 feet
Caravans: Distance, 100 feet

Strong Amendments:
Cal. Veh. Code § 21703 is amended by adding the following sentence to the end of the section, which reads as follows:

This section does not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Cal. Veh. Code § 21704 is amended by adding the following sentence to the end of the section, which reads as follows:

This section does not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Cal. Veh. Code § 21705 is amended by adding the following sentence to the end of the section, which reads as follows:

This section does not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendments:
Cal. Veh. Code § 21703 is amended by adding the following sentences to the end of the section, which read as follows:

This section does not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Motor Vehicles. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Cal. Veh. Code § 21704 is amended by adding the following sentences to the end of the section, which read as follows:

This section does not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Motor Vehicles. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.

Cal. Veh. Code § 21705 is amended by adding the following sentences to the end of the section, which read as follows:

This section does not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Motor Vehicles. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Colorado

Citation: Colo. Rev. Stat. § 42-4-1008

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Reasonable and prudent

Heavy Trucks: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:
Colo. Rev. Stat. § 42-4-1008 is amended by redesignating subsection (4) as subsection (5) and adding a new subsection (4), which reads as follows:

(4) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
Colo. Rev. Stat. § 42-4-1008 is amended by redesignating subsection (4) as subsection (5) and adding a new subsection (4), which reads as follows:

(4) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Revenue. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Connecticut

Citation: Conn. Gen. Stat. § 14-240

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Reasonable and prudent

Heavy Trucks: Undefined

Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:

Conn. Gen. Stat. § 14-240 is amended by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (e) and adding a new subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections shall not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:

Conn. Gen. Stat. § 14-240 is amended by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (e) and adding a new subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections shall not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Motor Vehicles. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Delaware

Citation: Del. Code tit. 21, § 4123

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Reasonable and prudent

Heavy Trucks: Distance, 300 feet

Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:
Del. Code tit. 21, § 4123 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
Del. Code tit. 21, § 4123 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Transportation. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
District of Columbia

*The District of Columbia lacks a formal following too closely rule. However, conduct generally prohibited by following too closely rules in other jurisdictions can be enforced under the District’s reckless driving statute.*

Citation: D.C. Code § 50-2201.04

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Undefined

Heavy Trucks: Undefined

Caravans: Undefined, although the District does regulate funeral processions, parades, and other explicitly authorized processions under D.C. Mun. Regs. tit. 18, § 2218.

Strong Amendment:

D.C. Code § 50-2201.04 is amended by redesignating subsection (f) as subsection (g) and adding a new subsection (f), which reads as follows:

(f) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:

D.C. Code § 50-2201.04 is amended by redesignating subsection (f) as subsection (g) and adding a new subsection (f), which reads as follows:

(g) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Motor Vehicles. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Florida

In early 2016, Florida became the second U.S. jurisdiction to explicitly exempt connected vehicle testing from following too closely rules. The law took effect on July 1, 2016. However, the current statute does not authorize non-testing operations and can thus be improved.

Citation: Fla. Stat. § 316.0895

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Reasonable and prudent

Heavy Trucks: Distance, 300 feet

Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:

Fla. Stat. § 316.0895 is amended by redesignating subsection (4) as subsection (5) and adding new subsection (4), which reads as follows:

(4) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:

Fla. Stat. § 316.0895 is amended by redesignating subsection (4) as subsection (5) and adding new subsection (4), which reads as follows:

(4) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Georgia

Citation: Ga. Code § 40-6-49

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class
Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger
Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:
Ga. Code § 40-6-49 is amended by adding subsection (e), which reads as follows:

(e) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
Ga. Code § 40-6-49 is amended by adding subsection (e), which reads as follows:

(e) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Revenue. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Guam

Citation: 16 G.C.A. § 3320

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Reasonable and prudent

Heavy Trucks: Undefined

Caravans: Undefined

Strong Amendment:

16 G.C.A. § 3320 is amended by adding the following sentence at the end of the section, which reads as follows:

This section not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:

16 G.C.A. § 3320 is amended by adding the following sentence at the end of the section, which reads as follows:

This section does not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Revenue and Taxation. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Hawaii

Citation: Haw. Rev. Stat. § 291C-50

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Reasonable and prudent

Heavy Trucks: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:

Haw. Rev. Stat. § 291C-50 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:

Haw. Rev. Stat. § 291C-50 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the director of transportation by rules and regulations, pursuant to chapter 91. The director shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Idaho

Citation: Idaho Code § 49-638

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class
Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger
Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:
Idaho Code § 49-638 is amended by adding subsection (4), which reads as follows:

(4) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
Idaho Code § 49-638 is amended by adding subsection (4), which reads as follows:

(4) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Idaho Transportation Department. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Illinois

Citation: 625 Ill. Comp. Stat. 5/11-710

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class
Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger
Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:
625 Ill. Comp. Stat. 5/11-710 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:
   (d) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
625 Ill. Comp. Stat. 5/11-710 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:
   (d) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Transportation. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Indiana

Citations
Cars: Ind. Code § 9-21-8-14
Heavy Trucks: Ind. Code § 9-21-8-15
Caravans: Ind. Code § 9-21-8-16

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class
Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Distance, 300 feet
Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendments:
Ind. Code § 9-21-8-14 is amended by adding the following sentence to the end of the section, which reads as follows:

This section does not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Ind. Code § 9-21-8-15 is amended by adding the following sentence to the end of the section, which reads as follows:

This section does not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Ind. Code § 9-21-8-16 is amended by adding the following sentence to the end of the section, which reads as follows:

This section does not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendments:
Ind. Code § 9-21-8-14 is amended by adding the following sentences to the end of the section, which read as follows:

This section does not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Bureau of Motor Vehicles. The bureau shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Ind. Code § 9-21-8-15 is amended by adding the following sentences to the end of the section, which read as follows:

This section does not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Bureau of Motor Vehicles. The bureau shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.

Ind. Code § 9-21-8-16 is amended by adding the following sentences to the end of the section, which read as follows:

This section does not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Bureau of Motor Vehicles. The bureau shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Iowa

Citations
Cars: Iowa Code § 321.307
Heavy Trucks: Iowa Code § 321.308

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class
Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Distance, 300 feet
Caravans: Undefined

Strong Amendments:
Iowa Code § 321.307 is amended by adding the following sentence to the end of the section, which reads as follows:

This section does not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Iowa Code § 321.308 is amended by adding the following sentence to the end of the section, which reads as follows:

This section does not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendments:
Iowa Code § 321.307 is amended by adding the following sentences to the end of the section, which read as follows:

This section does not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Transportation. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.

Iowa Code § 321.308 is amended by adding the following sentences to the end of the section, which read as follows:

This section does not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Transportation. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Kansas

Citation: Kan. Stat. § 8-1523

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class
Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger
Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:
Kan. Stat. § 8-1523 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
Kan. Stat. § 8-1523 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Revenue. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Kentucky

Citation: Ky. Rev. Stat. § 189.340

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class
Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Distance, 250 feet
Caravans: Undefined

Strong Amendment:
Ky. Rev. Stat. § 189.340 is amended by adding paragraph (c) to subsection (8), which reads as follows:

(c) This subsection does not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
Ky. Rev. Stat. § 189.340 is amended by adding paragraph (c) to subsection (8), which reads as follows:

(c) This subsection does not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet. The cabinet shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Louisiana

Citation: La. Stat. § 32:81

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class
Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Distance, 400 feet
Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:
La. Stat. § 32:81 is amended by adding subsection (D), which reads as follows:

    D. The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
La. Stat. § 32:81 is amended by adding subsection (D), which reads as follows:

    D. The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Public Safety and Corrections. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Maine

Citation: Me. Rev. Stat. tit. 29-A, § 2066

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Reasonable and prudent

Heavy Trucks: Distance, 150 feet

Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:

Me. Rev. Stat. tit. 29-A, § 2066 is amended by adding subsection (6), which reads as follows:

6. The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:

Me. Rev. Stat. tit. 29-A, § 2066 is amended by adding subsection (6), which reads as follows:

6. The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Public Safety. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Maryland

Citation: Md. Code, Transp. § 21-310

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Reasonable and prudent

Heavy Trucks: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:
Md. Code, Transp. § 21-310 is amended by adding subsection (f), which reads as follows:

(f) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
Md. Code, Transp. § 21-310 is amended by adding subsection (f), which reads as follows:

(f) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Transportation. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Massachusetts

Citation: 720 Mass. Code Regs. 9.06

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Distance, 200 feet
Caravans: Undefined

Strong Amendment:
720 Mass. Code Regs. 9.07 is amended by adding the following paragraph at the end of subsection (1), which reads as follows:

The provisions of 720 CMR 9.06(7) and (8) shall not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
720 Mass. Code Regs. 9.07 is amended by adding the following paragraph at the end of subsection (1), which reads as follows:

The provisions of 720 CMR 9.06(7) and (8) shall not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Transportation. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Michigan

Citations
Cars: Mich. Comp. Laws § 257.643
Heavy Trucks: Mich. Comp. Laws § 257.643a

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class
Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Distance, 500 feet; sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger
Caravans: Undefined

Strong Amendments:
Mich. Comp. Laws § 257.643 is amended by redesignating subsection (4) as subsection (5) and adding a new subsection (4), which reads as follows:

(4) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Mich. Comp. Laws § 257.643a is amended by redesignating subsection (2) as subsection (3) and adding a new subsection (2), which reads as follows:

(2) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendments:
Mich. Comp. Laws § 257.643 is amended by redesignating subsection (4) as subsection (5) and adding a new subsection (4), which reads as follows:

(4) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of State. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.

Mich. Comp. Laws § 257.643a is amended by redesignating subsection (2) as subsection (3) and adding a new subsection (2), which reads as follows:

(2) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of State. The department shall
promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Minnesota

Citation: Minn. Stat. § 169.18

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Reasonable and prudent

Heavy Trucks: Distance, 500 feet

Caravans: Undefined

Strong Amendment:

Minn. Stat. § 169.18 is amended by adding paragraph (d) to subdivision 8, which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:

Minn. Stat. § 169.18 is amended by adding paragraph (d) to subdivision 8, which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Public Safety in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Mississippi

Citation: Miss. Code. § 63-3-619

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class
Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Distance, 300 feet
Caravans: Undefined

Strong Amendment:
Miss. Code. § 63-3-619 is amended by adding subsection (3), which reads as follows:

(3) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
Miss. Code. § 63-3-619 is amended by adding subsection (3), which reads as follows:

(3) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Public Safety. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Missouri

Citations
Cars: Mo. Stat. § 304.017
Heavy Trucks: Mo. Stat. § 304.044

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class
Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Distance, 300 feet
Caravans: Undefined

Strong Amendments:
Mo. Stat. § 304.017 is amended by redesignating subsection (2) as subsection (3) and adding a new subsection (2), which reads as follows:

2. The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Mo. Stat. § 304.044 is amended by redesignating subsection (3) as subsection (4) and adding a new subsection (3), which reads as follows:

3. The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendments:
Mo. Stat. § 304.017 is amended by redesignating subsection (2) as subsection (3) and adding a new subsection (2), which reads as follows:

2. The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Public Safety. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.

Mo. Stat. § 304.044 is amended by redesignating subsection (3) as subsection (4) and adding a new subsection (3), which reads as follows:

3. The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Public Safety. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Montana

Citation: Mont. Code § 61-8-329

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Undefined
Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:
Mont. Code § 61-8-329 is amended by adding subsection (3), which reads as follows:

(3) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
Mont. Code § 61-8-329 is amended by adding subsection (3), which reads as follows:

(3) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Justice in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Nebraska

Citation: Neb. Rev. Stat. § 60-6,140

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Reasonable and prudent

Heavy Trucks: Distance, 100 feet

Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:
Neb. Rev. Stat. § 60-6,140 is amended by adding subsection (6), which reads as follows:

(6) Subsections (1) through (3) do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
Neb. Rev. Stat. § 60-6,140 is amended by adding subsection (6), which reads as follows:

(6) Subsections (1) through (3) do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Motor Vehicles. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Nevada

Citation: Nev. Rev. Stat. § 484B.127

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class
Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Distance, 500 feet
Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:
Nev. Rev. Stat. § 484B.127 is amended by adding subsection (4), which reads as follows:

4. The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
Nev. Rev. Stat. § 484B.127 is amended by adding subsection (4), which reads as follows:

4. The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Motor Vehicles. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
New Hampshire

Citation: N.H. Rev. Stat. § 265:25

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class
Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger
Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:
N.H. Rev. Stat. § 265:25 is amended by redesignating subsection (IV) as subsection (V) and adding a new subsection (IV), which reads as follows:

IV. The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
N.H. Rev. Stat. § 265:25 is amended by redesignating subsection (IV) as subsection (V) and adding a new subsection (IV), which reads as follows:

IV. The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Safety. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
New Jersey

Citation: N.J. Stat. § 39:4-89

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class
Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Distance, 100 feet
Caravans: Undefined

Strong Amendment:
N.J. Stat. § 39:4-89 is amended by adding the following sentence at the end of the section, which reads as follows:

This section does not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
N.J. Stat. § 39:4-89 is amended by adding the following sentence at the end of the section, which reads as follows:

This section does not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Motor Vehicle Commission. The commission shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
New Mexico

Citation: N.M. Stat. § 66-7-318

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Reasonable and prudent

Heavy Trucks: Distance, 300 feet

Caravans: Distance, 300 feet

Strong Amendment:
N.M. Stat. § 66-7-318 is amended by adding subsection (D), which reads as follows:

D. The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
N.M. Stat. § 66-7-318 is amended by adding subsection (D), which reads as follows:

D. The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Motor Vehicle Division. The division shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
New York

Citation: N.Y. Veh. & Traf. Law § 1129

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Reasonable and prudent

Heavy Trucks: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:

N.Y. Veh. & Traf. Law § 1129 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:

N.Y. Veh. & Traf. Law § 1129 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Motor Vehicles. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
North Carolina

Citation: N.C. Gen. Stat. § 20-152

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class
Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Undefined
Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:
N.C. Gen. Stat. § 20-152 is amended by adding subsection (c), which reads as follows:

(c) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
N.C. Gen. Stat. § 20-152 is amended by adding subsection (c), which reads as follows:

(c) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Transportation. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
North Dakota

Citation: N.D. Cent. Code § 39-10-18

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class
Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger
Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:
N.D. Cent. Code § 39-10-18 is amended by adding subsection (4), which reads as follows:

4. The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
N.D. Cent. Code § 39-10-18 is amended by adding subsection (4), which reads as follows:

4. The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Transportation. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Ohio

Citation: Ohio Rev. Code § 4511.34

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Reasonable and prudent

Heavy Trucks: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger; distance, 300 feet “while ascending to the crest of a grade beyond which the driver's view of a roadway is obstructed”

Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:
Ohio Rev. Code § 4511.34 is amended by adding the following sentence at the end of subsection (A), which reads as follows:

The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
Ohio Rev. Code § 4511.34 is amended by adding the following sentence at the end of subsection (A), which reads as follows:

The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Public Safety. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Oklahoma

Citation: Okla. Stat. tit. 47, § 11-310

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Distance, 300 feet
Caravans: Distance, 200 feet

Strong Amendment:
Okla. Stat. tit. 47, § 11-310 is amended by adding subsection (e), which reads as follows:

(e) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
Okla. Stat. tit. 47, § 11-310 is amended by adding subsection (e), which reads as follows:

(e) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Public Safety. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Oregon

Citation: Or. Rev. Stat. § 811.485

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Reasonable and prudent

Heavy Trucks: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:

Or. Rev. Stat. § 811.485 is amended by redesignating subsection (3) as subsection (4) adding a new subsection (3), which reads as follows:

(3) This section does not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:

Or. Rev. Stat. § 811.485 is amended by redesignating subsection (3) as subsection (4) adding a new subsection (3), which reads as follows:

(3) This section does not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Transportation. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Pennsylvania

Citation: 75 Pa. Stat. and Cons. Stat. § 3310

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class
Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger
Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:
75 Pa. Stat. and Cons. Stat. § 3310 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) Exemption for connected vehicles.--The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
75 Pa. Stat. and Cons. Stat. § 3310 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) Exemption for connected vehicles.--The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Transportation. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Puerto Rico

Citation: 9 L.P.R.A. § 5290

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Prudent

Heavy Trucks: Undefined

Caravans: Undefined

Strong Amendment:

9 L.P.R.A. § 5290 is amended by adding the following sentence between the second and third paragraphs of this section, which reads as follows:

This section does not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:

9 L.P.R.A. § 5290 is amended by adding the following sentence between the second and third paragraphs of this section, which reads as follows:

This section does not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Transportation and Public Works. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Rhode Island

Citation: 31 R.I. Gen. Laws § 31-15-12

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class
Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Undefined
Caravans: Undefined

Strong Amendment:
31 R.I. Gen. Laws § 31-15-12 is amended by redesignating the text of the section as subsection (a) and adding subsection (b), which reads as follows:

   (b) This section does not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
31 R.I. Gen. Laws § 31-15-12 is amended by redesignating the text of the section as subsection (a) and adding subsection (b), which reads as follows:

   (b) This section does not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Revenue. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
South Carolina

Citation: S.C. Code § 56-5-1930

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Reasonable and prudent

Heavy Trucks: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:

S.C. Code § 56-5-1930 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:

S.C. Code § 56-5-1930 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Motor Vehicles. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
South Dakota

Citations
Cars: S.D. Codified Laws § 32-26-40
Heavy Trucks: S.D. Codified Laws § 32-26-41
Caravans: S.D. Codified Laws § 32-26-42

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class
Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger
Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendments:
S.D. Codified Laws § 32-26-40 is amended by redesignating the text of the section as subsection (a) and adding subsection (b), which reads as follows:

(b) This section does not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

S.D. Codified Laws § 32-26-41 is amended by redesignating the text of the section as subsection (a) and adding subsection (b), which reads as follows:

(b) This section does not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

S.D. Codified Laws § 32-26-42 is amended by redesignating the text of the section as subsection (a) and adding subsection (b), which reads as follows:

(b) This section does not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendments:
S.D. Codified Laws § 32-26-40 is amended by redesignating the text of the section as subsection (a) and adding subsection (b), which reads as follows:

(b) This section does not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices
that are approved by the Department of Public Safety. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.

S.D. Codified Laws § 32-26-41 is amended by redesignating the text of the section as subsection (a) and adding subsection (b), which reads as follows:

(b) This section does not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Public Safety. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.

S.D. Codified Laws § 32-26-42 is amended by redesignating the text of the section as subsection (a) and adding subsection (b), which reads as follows:

(b) This section does not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Public Safety. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Tennessee

Citation: Tenn. Code § 55-8-124

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class
- Cars: Reasonable and prudent
- Heavy Trucks: Distance, 300 feet
- Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:
Tenn. Code § 55-8-124 is amended by redesignating subsection (e) as subsection (f) and adding a new subsection (e), which reads as follows:

(e) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
Tenn. Code § 55-8-124 is amended by redesignating subsection (e) as subsection (f) and adding a new subsection (e), which reads as follows:

(e) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Safety and Homeland Security. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Texas

Citation: Tex. Transp. Code § 545.062

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class
Cars: Assured clear distance
Heavy Trucks: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger
Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:
Tex. Transp. Code § 545.062 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
Tex. Transp. Code § 545.062 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Motor Vehicles. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Utah

In 2015, Utah became the first U.S. jurisdiction to explicitly exempt connected vehicle testing from following too closely rules. However, the current statute does not authorize non-testing operations or operations within urban areas and can thus be improved.

Citation: Utah Code § 41-6a-711

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Time, 2 seconds
Heavy Trucks: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger
Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:
Utah Code § 41-6a-711 is amended by striking paragraph (b) from subsection (2) and replacing it with a new paragraph (b), which reads as follows:

(b) the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
Utah Code § 41-6a-711 is amended by striking paragraph (b) from subsection (2) and replacing it with a new paragraph (b), which reads as follows:

(b) connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Transportation in consultation with the Department of Public Safety. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Vermont

Citation: Vt. Stat. tit. 23, § 1039

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Reasonable and prudent

Heavy Trucks: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:
Vt. Stat. tit. 23, § 1039 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
Vt. Stat. tit. 23, § 1039 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Agency of Transportation. The agency shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Virginia

Citation: Va. Code § 46.2-816

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class
Cars: Reasonable and prudent
Heavy Trucks: Undefined
Caravans: Undefined

Strong Amendment:
Va. Code § 46.2-816 is amended by adding the following sentence at the end of the section, which reads as follows:

This section does not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
Va. Code § 46.2-816 is amended by adding the following sentence at the end of the section, which reads as follows:

This section does not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Motor Vehicles. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Virgin Islands

The U.S. Virgin Islands lack a formal following too closely rule. However, conduct generally prohibited by following too closely rules in other jurisdictions can be enforced under the Virgin Islands’ reckless driving statute.

Citation: 20 V.I.C. § 492

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Undefined

Heavy Trucks: Undefined

Caravans: Undefined

Strong Amendment:

20 V.I.C. § 492 is amended by adding the following sentence to the end of the section, which reads as follows:

This section does not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:

20 V.I.C. § 492 is amended by adding the following sentence to the end of the section, which reads as follows:

This section does not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Bureau of Motor Vehicles. The bureau shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Washington

Citation: Wash. Rev. Code § 46.61.145

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Reasonable and prudent

Heavy Trucks: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:
Wash. Rev. Code § 46.61.145 is amended by adding subsection (4), which reads as follows:

(4) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
Wash. Rev. Code § 46.61.145 is amended by adding subsection (4), which reads as follows:

(4) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the State Patrol. The State Patrol shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
West Virginia

Citation: W. Va. Code § 17C-7-10

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Reasonable and prudent

Heavy Trucks: Distance, 200 feet

Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:
W. Va. Code § 17C-7-10 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
W. Va. Code § 17C-7-10 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Transportation. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
Wisconsin

Citation: Wis. Stat. § 346.14

Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Reasonable and prudent

Heavy Trucks: Distance, 500 feet

Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger; distance of less than 500 feet is prima facie evidence the operator is violating the subsection

Strong Amendment:
Wis. Stat. § 346.14 is amended by adding subsection (3), which reads as follows:

(3) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
Wis. Stat. § 346.14 is amended by adding subsection (3), which reads as follows:

(3) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Transportation. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
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Following Too Closely Rule Types by Vehicle Class

Cars: Reasonable and prudent, sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Heavy Trucks: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Caravans: Sufficient space to enter and occupy without danger

Strong Amendment:
Wyo. Stat. § 31-5-210 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections do not apply to the operator of any non-leading vehicle traveling in a procession of vehicles if the speed of each vehicle is automatically coordinated.

Weak Amendment:
Wyo. Stat. § 31-5-210 is amended by adding subsection (d), which reads as follows:

(d) The preceding subsections do not apply to connected vehicle technology testing and operations that use networked wireless communication among vehicles, infrastructure, or communication devices that are approved by the Department of Transportation. The department shall promulgate rules in the least restrictive means for ensuring the safe and adequate operation of vehicles.
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