# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

| JACQUELINE HALBIG, et al., |                            |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Plaintiffs,                | )                          |
| ν.                         | ) Civ. No. 13-623          |
| KATHLEEN SEBELIUS, et al., | ) Judge Richard W. Roberts |
| Defendants.                | ý                          |
|                            | )                          |

#### AFFIDAVIT OF PROFESSOR DANIEL KESSLER, J.D., PH.D.

# My Background

- 1. I obtained a J.D. from Stanford Law School in 1993 and a Ph.D. in economics from M.I.T. in 1994, specializing in law-and-economics and health economics. I am currently a tenured professor at Stanford Law School and the Stanford Graduate School of Business; a professor (by courtesy) at the Stanford School of Medicine; a senior fellow at Stanford's Hoover Institution; and a Research Associate at the National Bureau of Economic Research, the nation's leading nonprofit, nonpartisan economic research organization.
- 2. I have published numerous papers in peer-reviewed journals on health economics, health insurance, regulation, and policy. I currently teach a University-wide course on health policy at Stanford. I have also taught courses in health economics and public policy at Harvard Law School and the Wharton School at the University of Pennsylvania. I have served as a consultant on health economics and policy issues to the U.S. Federal Trade Commission, hospitals, insurers, and pharmaceutical companies. I have received grant support from the U.S. National Institutes of Health, the National Science Foundation, the U.S. Agency for Health Care Research and Quality, the California Health Care Foundation, the American Cancer Society, and the Commonwealth Fund. My curriculum vitae is attached as Appendix A.

## Scope of Assignment

3. I was asked by Counsel to provide an expert opinion on two questions. First, if the IRS is not allowed to provide subsidies in the West Virginia Insurance Exchange, will the premiums for the least-costly "bronze" individual insurance plan available to Mr. Klemencic in 2014 exceed 8 percent of his expected income? Second, if the IRS is allowed to provide subsidies in the West Virginia Insurance Exchange, will the premiums (net of any subsidy) for the least-costly "bronze" individual insurance plan available to Mr. Klemencic in 2014 exceed 8 percent of his expected income?

4. In response to these questions, I reached the following conclusions. First, if the IRS *is not* allowed to provide subsidies in the West Virginia Insurance Exchange, the premiums for the least-costly "bronze" individual insurance plan available to Mr. Klemencic in 2014 *will* exceed 8 percent of his expected income. Second, if the IRS *is* allowed to provide subsidies in the West Virginia Insurance Exchange, the premiums (net of any subsidy) for the least-costly "bronze" individual insurance plan available to Mr. Klemencic in 2014 *will not* exceed 8 percent of his expected income.

# **Analysis -- First Question**

- 5. At the time of the preparation of this affidavit, premiums for the individual insurance plans to be offered in the West Virginia Insurance Exchange for coverage in 2014 -- including the least-costly bronze plan -- were not publicly available. For this reason, I had to estimate what they will be. I used a three-step approach:
- (1) I estimated the 2013 premiums for the least-costly individual insurance plan that is currently available to Mr. Klemencic and meets the standards for a bronze plan that could be offered in an Insurance Exchange in 2014.
- (2) I estimated whether the premiums for a plan that meets the standards for a bronze plan that could be offered in an Insurance Exchange in 2014 will increase or decrease from 2013-14 as a result of the Affordable Care Act (the Act).
- (3) I applied the 2013-14 change in premiums from (2) to the base level of premiums in (1) to estimate what the premiums will be for the least-costly bronze plan available to Mr. Klemencic in 2014.

#### Step (1)

- 6. To obtain the 2013 premiums for individual insurance plans that are currently available to Mr. Klemencic, I used ehealthinsurance.com (http://www.ehealthinsurance.com). I accessed the website as if I were a 54-year-old male living in Cairo, West Virginia. Table 1 presents the fifteen least-costly insurance plans returned by the website. As the table shows, they range in price from \$148 to \$300 per month.
- 7. Thirteen of the fifteen plans currently available to Mr. Klemencic do not meet the standards for a plan that could be offered in an Insurance Exchange in 2014 because their out-of-pocket maximum values -- the most that the policyholder could be expected to pay -- exceeds the limit imposed by the Act.<sup>1</sup>
- 8. Two plans -- the "Coventry One QHDHP 100/\$5000" and the "Highmark HDHP 5000" -- have out-of-pocket maximum values that are below the limit imposed by the Act. These

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Under the Act, the maximum amount that a person with individual coverage could be expected to pay out-of-pocket in 2014 will generally be \$6,350.

plans also offer all of the "Essential Health Benefits" required by the Act.<sup>2</sup> The less costly of the two, the "Coventry One QHDHP 100/\$5000," is slightly more generous than the most-generous bronze plan that could be offered in an Insurance Exchange in 2014; the more costly of the two, the "Highmark HDHP 5000," meets all of the standards for a bronze plan.

- 9. The generosity of a health plan is measured by its "actuarial value." The actuarial value of a plan is the expected share of medical spending paid for by it, rather than out-of-pocket, for an average person. Plans with low expected out-of-pocket payments, such as those with a low deductible, therefore have high actuarial values. The actuarial value of a bronze plan offered in an Insurance Exchange must be between 58 and 62 percent: that is, a bronze plan must cover between 58 and 62 percent of the average person's anticipated medical spending.<sup>3</sup>
- 10. The Department of Health and Human Services has made public an Actuarial Value Calculator (the Calculator) that takes as inputs the characteristics of a health plan such as its deductible, coinsurance rates, copayments, and out-of-pocket maximum and returns as an output its actuarial value.<sup>4</sup> The Calculator was designed to help insurers design plans that meet the actuarial value standards imposed by the Act.
- 11. According to the Calculator, the "Coventry One QHDHP 100/\$5000" has an actuarial value of 63 percent. This plan is slightly more generous than the maximum that will be allowed for a bronze plan that could be offered in an Insurance Exchange in 2014. According to the Calculator, the "Highmark HDHP 5000" has an actuarial value of 61.5 percent. This plan meets all of the standards for a bronze plan that could be offered in an Insurance Exchange in 2014. I therefore estimate that the premiums for the least-costly individual insurance plan that is currently available to Mr. Klemencic and meets the standards for a bronze plan that could be offered in an Insurance Exchange in 2014 will be 5 percent lower than the premiums for the "Coventry One QHDHP 100/\$5000," or \$281 per month.

## Step (2)

- 12. It is impossible to determine a priori whether the premiums for a plan that meets the bronze standards and could be offered in an Insurance Exchange in 2014 will increase or decrease from 2013-14 as a result of the Act. On one hand, premiums may decrease due to enhanced competition among insurers created by the Insurance Exchanges. On the other hand, premiums may increase due to guaranteed issue, community rating, and other regulations imposed by the Act. Whether premiums will increase or decrease, and by how much, is therefore an empirical issue.
- 13. To estimate how premiums will change from 2013-14, I searched for currently-available plans that will be closely comparable to bronze plans that will be offered in an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Essential Health Benefits are specified in Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act: Standards Related to Essential Health Benefits, Actuarial Value, and Accreditation, 45 CFR 156.20 et seq., 78 Federal Register 12834 (February 24, 2013).

<sup>3 45</sup> CFR 156.140.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Available at http://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Resources/Regulations-and-Guidance/Downloads/av-calculator-final.xlsm, with instructions at http://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Resources/Files/Downloads/av-calculator-methodology.pdf, accessed July 22, 2013.

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$  \$281 = \$296 × 0.95.

Insurance Exchange in 2014. To ensure that the comparison between each currently-available plan and its 2014 analogue was "apples-to-apples," I required that the currently-available plans I selected cover all Essential Health Benefits; have an out-of-pocket maximum that is below the \$6,350 limit imposed by the Act; have an actuarial value of approximately 60 percent according to the Calculator; and have a 2014 analogue with publicly-available premium information and a similar benefits design and network of doctors and hospitals.

- 14. I found two plans that met these standards, which I describe in Table 2. The plans are offered by Kaiser Permanente in California and Colorado. Kaiser is the nation's largest Health Maintenance Organization that serves its enrollees with its own proprietary network of doctors and hospitals. Its network will be roughly the same in 2014 as it is today.
- 15. For a 54-year-old male, the premiums for these two plans will increase by 39.3 and 46.2 percent, respectively. For example, in California, the "Deductible 40/4000 with HSA" plan is currently available in San Francisco for a premium of \$346 per month; it has an actuarial value of 62.4 percent. Starting in 2014, the "Bronze DHMO 5000/30" plan will be available for \$482 per month; it has a (slightly lower) actuarial value of 60.6 percent. Thus, for a 54-year-old male in California, the premiums for a comparable bronze plan will increase by 39.3 percent. A similar calculation shows that the premiums for a 54-year-old male in Colorado for a comparable bronze plan will increase by 46.2 percent.
- 16. I have also reviewed the premiums for individual Insurance Exchange plans that will be offered in 2014 in Virginia, Oregon, and the District of Columbia. I did not include these states in the analysis above because I was not able to find any currently-available plans offered in them that will be closely comparable to bronze plans that will be offered in their Insurance Exchanges in 2014. However, my informal review of their premiums is consistent with the calculations detailed above. I therefore estimate that the premiums for Mr. Klemencic for a plan that meets the standards for a bronze plan that could be offered in an Insurance Exchange in 2014 will increase from 2013-14 as a result of the Act.

# <u>Step (3)</u>

17. In paragraph 11., I estimate that the premiums will be \$281 per month for the least-costly individual insurance plan that is currently available to Mr. Klemencic and meets the standards for a bronze plan that could be offered in an Insurance Exchange in 2014. In paragraph 16., I estimate that the premiums for such a plan will increase from 2013-14 as a result of the Act. Thus, I estimate that the premiums for Mr. Klemencic for a bronze plan will be more than \$281 per month in 2014. If West Virginia experiences premium increases due to the Act similar to those in California and Colorado, the premiums for the least-costly bronze plan available to Mr. Klemencic in 2014 will be approximately \$401 per month. Even if the Act allowed Mr. Klemencic to purchase the least-costly insurance plan that is currently available to him -- regardless of whether it met the standards for a bronze plan that could be offered in an Insurance Exchange in 2014 (which it does not) -- and the Act did not increase premiums at all

 $<sup>^{6}</sup>$  0.393 = (\$482 - \$346) / \$346.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> This assumes a 42.8 percent increase in premiums, the average of the California and Colorado increases.

(which is contrary to my estimate), the least-costly plan available to him in 2014 would cost \$148 per month.8

## **Analysis -- Second Question**

- 18. Subsidies for coverage purchased in an Insurance Exchange, or "premium assistance amounts," are specified in Section 1401 of the Act. For individuals earning between 150 and 200 percent of the Federal Poverty Line (FPL), the subsidies in 2014 are whatever amount is necessary to bring the premium for the second-lowest cost "silver" plan, net of the subsidy, to between 4.0 and 6.3 percent of the individual's income. The 4.0 percent threshold applies to individuals earning exactly 150 percent of the FPL; the 6.3 percent threshold applies to individuals earning exactly 200 percent of the FPL. Individuals who earn more than 150 percent but less than 200 percent of the FPL have their income threshold determined by linear interpolation between the 4.0 and 6.3 percent thresholds. So, for example, an individual who earns exactly 175 percent of the FPL (halfway between 150 and 200 percent) is entitled to whatever subsidy is necessary to bring the premium for the second-lowest cost silver plan, net of the subsidy, to 5.15 percent of his income (halfway between 4.0 and 6.3).
- 19. According to Counsel, Mr. Klemencic's expected income in 2014 is \$20,000. The Act specifies that the FPL to be used for purposes of determining insurance subsidies is the most recently published one as of the first day of the regular enrollment period for the insurance to which the subsidies will be applied. Because the FPL is not published until January of its calendar year, the 2013 FPL will be the one that is used to determine insurance subsidies under the Act. Because the 2013 FPL for a single person in West Virginia is \$11,490, Mr. Klemencic's expected 2014 income is 174 percent of the FPL for purposes of determining his insurance subsidy. Thus, if a subsidy for coverage purchased in an Insurance Exchange is available to him, he will be entitled to whatever subsidy is necessary to bring the premium for the second-lowest cost silver plan (net of the subsidy) to 5.1 percent of his income.
- 20. The second-lowest cost silver plan will have a higher premium, before any subsidies, than the least-costly bronze plan. The actuarial value of a silver plan must be between 68 and 72 percent;<sup>17</sup> that is, it must cover significantly more of the average person's anticipated medical spending than a bronze plan, which must have an actuarial value between 58 and 62 percent. The fact that any silver plan must be significantly more generous than any bronze plan

10 26 USC 36B(b)(3)(A)(i).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See table 1, referenced in paragraph 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> 26 USC 36B.

 $<sup>^{11}5.15 = 4.0 + \{[6.3 - 4.0] \</sup>times [(175 - 150) / (200 - 150)]\}.$ 

<sup>12 26</sup> USC 36B(d)(3)(B).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, 2013 Poverty Guidelines, Frequently Asked Questions, available at http://aspe.hhs.gov/poverty/faq.cfm#When, accessed July 24, 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, 2013 Poverty Guidelines, http://aspe.hhs.gov/poverty/13poverty.cfm, accessed July 24, 2013.

 $<sup>^{15}</sup>$  1.74 = \$20,000 / \$11,490.

 $<sup>^{16}5.1 = 4.0 + \{[6.3 - 4.0] \</sup>times [(174 - 150) / (200 - 150)]\}.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> 45 CFR 156.140.

will translate into a higher premium, before subsidies. My informal review of premiums for individual Insurance Exchange plans that will be offered in 2014 confirms this.

## **Conclusions**

- Regarding the first question. I conclude that if the IRS is not allowed to provide 21. subsidies in the West Virginia Insurance Exchange, the premiums for the least-costly bronze individual insurance plan available to Mr. Klemencic in 2014 will exceed 8 percent of his expected income. According to Counsel, Mr. Klemencic's expected income in 2014 is \$20,000, or \$1,667 per month. In paragraph 17., I estimate that the premiums for the least-costly bronze individual insurance plan available to Mr. Klemencic in 2014 will exceed \$281 per month, or 16.9 percent of his expected income. 18 This is more than double the threshold of 8 percent. If West Virginia experiences premium increases due to the Act similar to those in California and Colorado, the premiums for the least-costly bronze plan available to Mr. Klemencic in 2014 will be approximately 24.1 percent of his expected income. 19 This is more than triple the threshold of 8 percent. Even if the Act allowed Mr. Klemencic to purchase the least-costly insurance plan that is currently available to him -- regardless of whether it met the standards for a bronze plan that could be offered in an Insurance Exchange in 2014 (which it does not) -- and the Act did not increase premiums at all (which is contrary to my estimate), the least-costly plan available to him would be 8.9 percent of his expected income.<sup>20</sup>
- 22. Regarding the second question, I conclude that if the IRS is allowed to provide subsidies in the West Virginia Insurance Exchange, the premiums (net of any subsidy) for the least-costly bronze individual insurance plan available to Mr. Klemencic in 2014 will not exceed 8 percent of his expected income. In paragraph 19., I calculate that the subsidy available to Mr. Klemencic will be equal to whatever amount is necessary to bring the premiums (net of the subsidy) for the second-lowest cost silver plan to 5.1 percent of his expected income. In paragraph 20., I explain why the second-lowest cost silver plan will have a higher premium, before any subsidies, than the least-costly bronze plan. Thus, Mr. Klemencic will necessarily have to spend less than 5.1 percent of his expected income (net of any subsidy) to purchase the least-costly bronze plan available to him in 2014.

 $<sup>^{18}</sup>$  0.169 = \$281 / \$1,667.

 $<sup>^{19}</sup>$  0.241 = \$401 / \$1,667.

 $<sup>^{20}</sup>$  0.089 = \$148 / \$1,667.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed on this day, August 2, 2013.

Professor Daniel P. Kessler, J.D., Ph.D.

| STATE OF CALIFORNIA<br>COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA                  |                               |
|---|-------------------------------|
| Subscribed and sworn before me this 2nd. day of August, 2013. | see attached<br>document - BY |
| NOTARY PUBLIC   |                               |
| My commission expires on                                      |                               |

# Jurat

State of California

County of Santa Clara

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 2 day of August, 2013, by Daviel Kesser, proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) who appeared before me.

(Seal) Signature

See attached document



Table 1: Premiums for the Fifteen Least-Costly Health Insurance Plans Available to a 54-year-old Male in Cairo, West Virginia

| Insurer              | Plan Name                             | Premium Per Month |  |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| United Healthcare    | Saver 80/10000                        | \$148             |  |
| United Healthcare    | Copay Select Saver - 10000            | \$177             |  |
| United Healthcare    | Copay Select Value - 10000            | \$188             |  |
| United Healthcare    | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                   |  |
| United Healthcare    | Copay Select Saver - 7500             | \$206             |  |
| United Healthcare    | Copay Select 70 - 10000               | \$215             |  |
| United Healthcare    | Copay Select Value - 7500             | \$218             |  |
| United Healthcare    | Saver 80/2500                         | \$232             |  |
| United Healthcare    | Copay Select Saver - 5000             | \$241             |  |
| United Healthcare    | Copay Select 70 - 7500                | \$250             |  |
| United Healthcare    | Copay Select Value - 5000             | \$256             |  |
| Coventry Health Care | Value Copay 20/40 \$5000              | \$264             |  |
| United Healthcare    | Copay Select 70 - 5000                | \$294             |  |
| Coventry Health Care | QHDHP 100/\$5000                      | \$296             |  |
| Highmark             | HDHP 5000                             | \$300             |  |

Notes: Based on the Ehealthinsurance.com website (http://ehealthinsurance.com) for a 54-year-old male in Cairo, West Virginia 26337, accessed August 1, 2013.

Table 2: Expected 2013-14 Changes In Premiums For Currently-Available, ACA-Compliant Health Plans With Actuarial Values of Approximately 60 Percent

|            | 2013                              |                                 |                                      | 2014                       |                                 |                                | 2013-14              |
|------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| State      | Plan Name                         | Actuarial<br>Value <sup>1</sup> | Premium<br>Per<br>Month <sup>2</sup> | Plan<br>Name               | Actuarial<br>Value <sup>3</sup> | Premium Per Month <sup>3</sup> | Change in<br>Premium |
| California | Deductible<br>40/4000<br>with HSA | 62.4%                           | \$346                                | Bronze<br>DHMO<br>5000/30  | 60.6%                           | \$482                          | +39.3%               |
| Colorado   | KP<br>5500/0/HSA<br>/Rx           | 61.0%                           | \$260                                | KP CO<br>Bronze<br>4500/50 | 60.9%                           | \$380                          | +46.2%               |

#### Notes:

- 1. Based on the Actuarial Value Calculator from the Department of Health and Human Services described in the text.
- 2. Based on the Kaiser Permanente website (http://kp.org), for a 54-year-old male in San Francisco, California 94118 and Denver, Colorado 80219, respectively, accessed August 1, 2013.
- 3. Based on publicly-available filings for a 54-year-old non-smoking male in San Francisco and Denver, respectively, from the California Department of Managed Health Care, available at http://wpso.dmhc.ca.gov/RateReview and the Colorado Department of Regulatory Agencies, Division of Insurance, available at http://cdn.colorado.gov/cs/Satellite/DORA-HealthIns/CBON/DORA/1251627738584, accessed July 23, 2013.

# Appendix A: Curriculum Vitae

Daniel P. Kessler

Law School, Graduate School of Business, and Hoover Institution

Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 (650) 725-1519

Email: fkessler@stanford.edu

#### **Education:**

Ph.D. Economics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1994

J.D. Stanford Law School, 1993

B.A. Economics, Harvard University, 1988

#### **Academic Positions:**

Professor, Stanford Law School, 2009-

Professor, by courtesy, Stanford School of Medicine, Department of Health Research and Policy, 2008-

David S. and Ann M. Barlow Professor in Management, Graduate School of Business, Stanford University, 2007-10

Visiting Professor, Harvard Law School, 2007

Senior Fellow, Hoover Institution, Stanford University, 2006-

Professor, by courtesy, Stanford Law School, 2004-2009

Professor, Graduate School of Business, Stanford University, 2003-

Visiting Associate Professor, Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania, 2002-03

Associate Professor, Graduate School of Business, Stanford University, 1998-2003

Assistant Professor, Graduate School of Business, Stanford University, 1994-98

#### Awards and Fellowships:

Affiliate, Stanford Center on Longevity, 2008-

Health Care Research Award, National Institute for Health Care Management Foundation, 2003

Fellow, Center for Advanced Study in the Behavioral Sciences, 2003-04

Graduate School of Business Trust Faculty Fellow, 2000-01

Affiliate, Center for Social Innovation, Stanford Graduate School of Business, 2000-

Research Associate, National Bureau of Economic Research, 1999-

Public Policy Advising Award, Stanford University, 1998

Kenneth J. Arrow Award for Best Paper in Health Economics, International Health Economics Association, 1997

Affiliate, Center for Health Policy, Stanford University, 1997-

Class of 1969 Faculty Scholar, Stanford Graduate School of Business, 1997-98

National Fellow, Hoover Institution, 1997-98

John M. Olin Faculty Fellow, 1996-97

Faculty Research Fellow, National Bureau of Economic Research, 1994-99

#### **Academic Publications:**

- "Why Are Medicare and Commercial Insurance Spending Weakly Correlated?" with Laurence C. Baker and M. Kate Bundorf, *American Journal of Managed Care*, forthcoming.
- "Regulatory Neutrality Is Essential To Establishing A Level Playing Field For Accountable Care Organizations" with Gary E. Bacher, Michael E. Chernew, and Stephen M. Weiner, *Health Affairs*, forthcoming.
- "Reforming Medicare," Tax Law Review 65 (2012): 811-833.
- "How Should Risk Adjustment Data Be Collected?" Inquiry 49 (Summer 2012): 127-140.
- "Reforming the Tax Preference for Employer Health Insurance," with Joseph Bankman, John F. Cogan, and R. Glenn Hubbard, in *Tax Policy and the Economy, Volume 26*, ed. Jeffrey Brown, MIT Press (2011).
- "Vertical Integration and Optimal Reimbursement Policy," with Christopher C. Afendulis, International Journal of Health Care Finance and Economics 11 (2011): 165-179.
- "The Effect of Tax Preferences on Health Spending," with John F. Cogan and R. Glenn Hubbard, *National Tax Journal* 64 (September 2011): 795-816.
- "The Effect of Bivalirudin on Costs and Outcomes of Treatment of ST-segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction," with Eugene Kroch and Mark A. Hlatky, *American Heart Journal* 162 (2011): 494-500.
- "Evaluating the Medical Malpractice System and Options for Reform," *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 25 (2011): 93-110.
- "Does Patient Satisfaction Affect Patient Loyalty?" with Deirdre Mylod, *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance* 24 (2011): 266-73.
- Regulation versus Litigation: Perspectives from Economics and Law, ed., University of Chicago Press (2011).
- "The Persuasive Effects of Direct Mail: A Regression Discontinuity Approach," with Alan Gerber and Marc Meredith, *Journal of Politics* 73 (January 2011): 140-55.
- "HMO Coverage Reduces Variations in the Use of Health Care Among Patients Under Age Sixty-five" with Laurence Baker and M. Kate Bundorf, *Health Affairs* 29 (November 2010): 2068-74.

- "The Effect of Massachusetts Health Reform on Employer-sponsored Insurance Premiums," with John F. Cogan and R. Glenn Hubbard, Forum for Health Economics and Policy 13 (2010): article 5.
- "The Effect of Medicare Coverage for the Disabled on the Market for Private Insurance," with John F. Cogan and R. Glenn Hubbard, *Journal of Health Economics* 29 (May 2010): pp. 418-25.
- "Why Is Health Reform So Difficult?" with David Brady, Journal of Health Politics, Policy, and Law 35 (April 2010): 161-75.
- "Who Supports Health Reform?" with David Brady, PS: Political Science and Politics 43 (January 2010): 1-6.
- "Putting the Public's Money Where Its Mouth Is," with David Brady, *Health Affairs* 28 (September/October 2009): w917-w925.
- "Do Markets Respond to Quality Information? The Case of Fertility Clinics," with M. Kate Bundorf, Natalie Chun, and Gopi Shah Goda, *Journal of Health Economics* 28 (2009): 718-27.
- "Empirical Study of the Civil Justice System," with Daniel L. Rubinfeld, in the Handbook of Law and Economics, eds. A. Mitchell Polinsky and Steven Shavell, North-Holland (2007).
- "Tradeoffs from Integrating Diagnosis and Treatment in Markets for Health Care," with Christopher Afendulis, *American Economic Review* 97 (June 2007): 1013-20.
- "Evaluating Effects of Tax Preferences on Health Care Spending and Federal Revenues," with John F. Cogan and R. Glenn Hubbard, in *Tax Policy and the Economy, Volume 21*, ed. James Poterba, MIT Press (2007).
- "The Effects of the Medical Liability System in Australia, the UK, and the US," with Nicholas Summerton and John R. Graham, *Lancet* 368 (2006): 240-46.
- "The Effect of Cardiac Specialty Hospitals on the Cost and Quality of Care," with Jason Barro and Robert Huckman, *Journal of Health Economics* 25 (2006): 702-21.
- "The Effects of the US Malpractice System on the Cost and Quality of Care," with David Becker, in *Medical Malpractice and the US Health Care System: New Century, Different Issues*, eds. William Sage and Rogan Kirsch, Cambridge University Press (2006).
- "Making Markets Work: Five Steps to a Better Health Care System," with John F. Cogan and R. Glenn Hubbard, *Health Affairs* 24 (November/December 2005): 1447-57.

- Healthy, Wealthy, and Wise: Five Steps to a Better Health Care System, with John F. Cogan and R. Glenn Hubbard, Hoover Institution/AEI Press (1st. ed., 2005; 2nd. ed., 2011).
- "The Effects of Competition on Variation in the Quality and Cost of Medical Care," with Jeffrey Geppert, Journal of Economics and Management Strategy 14 (2005): 575-89.
- "Impact of Malpractice Reforms on the Supply of Physician Services," with David Becker and William Sage, *JAMA* 293 (6/1/05): 2618-25.
- "Detecting Medicare Abuse," with David Becker and Mark McClellan, *Journal of Health Economics* 24 (2005): 189-210.
- "The Medical Liability System: Current Debates," in *Power to the Patient: Selected Health Care Issues and Policy Solutions*, ed. Scott W. Atlas, Hoover Institution Press (2005).
- "Toward Better Drugs for Less (book review)," Science 306 (12/24/04): 2192-3.
- "Advance Directives and Medical Treatment at the End of Life," with Mark McClellan, *Journal of Health Economics* 23 (2004): 111-27.
- "Is More Information Better? The Effects of 'Report Cards' on Health Care Providers," with David Dranove, Mark McClellan, and Mark Satterthwaite, *Journal of Political Economy* 111 (2003), pp. 555-88.
- "Ownership Form and Trapped Capital in the Hospital Industry," with Henry Hansmann and Mark McClellan, in *The Governance of Not-for-Profit Firms*, Edward L. Glaeser ed., University of Chicago Press (2003).
- "How Liability Law Affects Medical Productivity," with Mark McClellan, *Journal of Health Economics* 21 (2002), pp. 931-55.
- "The Effects of Hospital Ownership on Medical Productivity," with Mark McClellan, RAND Journal of Economics 33 (Autumn 2002), pp. 488-506.
- A Global Analysis of Technological Change in Health Care: Heart Attack, ed., with Mark McClellan, University of Michigan Press (2002).
- "Technological Change in Heart Attack Care in the United States: Causes and Consequences," with Mark McClellan, Nathan Every, Alan Garber, Paul Heidenreich, Mark Hlatky, Joseph Newhouse, and Olga Saynina, in A Global Analysis of Technological Change in Health Care: Heart Attack, ed., with Mark McClellan, University of Michigan Press (2002).
- "Malpractice Pressure, Managed Care, and Physician Behavior," with Mark McClellan, in *Regulation Through Litigation*, W. Kip Viscusi ed., Brookings Institution Press (2002).

- "Does Party Matter in Senators' Voting Behavior? An Historical Test Using Tariff Votes," with David Brady and Judith Goldstein, *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization* 18 (April 2002), pp. 140-54.
- "Malpractice Law and Health Care Reform: Optimal Liability Policy in an Era of Managed Care," with Mark McClellan, *Journal of Public Economics* 84 (2002), pp. 175-197.
- "Technological Change Around the World: Evidence from Heart Attack Care," authored as the TECH Research Network, *Health Affairs* 20 (May/June 2001), pp. 25-42.
- "Prevailing Wage Laws and Construction Labor Markets," with Lawrence Katz, *Industrial and Labor Relations Review* 54 (January 2001), pp. 259-74.
- "What Do Prosecutors Maximize? An Analysis of the Federalization of Drug Crimes," with Anne Morrison Piehl and Edward Glaeser, *American Law and Economics Review* 2 (2000), pp. 259-90.
- Review of Measuring the Prices of Medical Treatments (Jack E. Triplett, ed.), Journal of Economic Literature XXXVIII (September 2000), pp. 664-6.
- "Is Hospital Competition Socially Wasteful?" with Mark McClellan, *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 115 (May 2000), pp. 577-615.
- "Designing Hospital Antitrust Policy to Promote Social Welfare," with Mark McClellan, in Frontiers in Health Policy Research: Volume 2, ed. Alan Garber, MIT Press (1999).
- "A Global Analysis of Technological Change in Health Care: The Case of Heart Attacks," with Mark McClellan for the TECH Investigators, *Health Affairs* 18 (May/June 1999), pp. 250-55.
- "Using Sentence Enhancements to Distinguish Between Deterrence and Incapacitation," with Steven Levitt, *Journal of Law and Economics* 42 (April 1999), pp. 343-365.
- "The Link Between Liability Reforms and Productivity: Some Empirical Evidence," with Thomas Campbell and George Shepherd, *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity: Microeconomics* (1998).
- "The Role of Discretion in the Criminal Justice System," with Anne Morrison Piehl, *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization* 14 (Winter 1998), pp. 256-276.
- "The Law and Economics of Tying Arrangements: Lessons for Competition Policy Treatment of Intellectual Property," with William Baxter, in Competition Policy and Intellectual Property Rights in the Knowledge-Based Economy, eds. Robert Anderson and Nancy Gallini, Industry Canada Research Series, University of Calgary Press (1998).

- "The Effects of Malpractice Pressure and Liability Reforms on Physicians' Perceptions of Medical Care," with Mark McClellan, *Law and Contemporary Problems* 60 (Winter 1997), pp. 81-106.
- "Institutional Causes of Delay in the Settlement of Legal Disputes," *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization* 12 (Winter 1996), pp. 432-460.
- "Dynamics of Cosponsorship," with Keith Krehbiel, American Political Science Review 90 (September 1996), pp. 555-566.
- "Do Doctors Practice Defensive Medicine?" with Mark McClellan, *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 111 (May 1996), pp. 353-390.
- "Explaining Deviations from the Fifty Percent Rule: A Multimodal Approach to the Selection of Cases for Litigation," with Thomas Meites and Geoffrey Miller, *Journal of Legal Studies* 35 (January 1996), pp. 233-261.
- "Liability Reforms and Economic Performance," with Thomas Campbell and George Shepherd, in *The Mosaic of Economic Growth*, eds. Ralph Landau, Timothy Taylor, and Gavin Wright, Stanford University Press (1996).
- "Fault, Settlement, and Negligence Law," *RAND Journal of Economics* 26 (Summer 1995), pp. 296-313.
- "Toward a Consistent Theory of the Welfare Analysis of Agreements," with William Baxter, Stanford Law Review 47 (April 1995), pp. 301-317.
- "Birth Order, Family Size, and Achievement: Family Structure and Wage Determination," *Journal of Labor Economics* 9 (October 1991), pp. 413-426.

# Academic Manuscripts in Progress:

- "The Effects of Patient Preferences on Area Variations," with Laurence C. Baker and M. Kate Bundorf.
- "The Effects of Medicare Advantage on Hospital Admissions and Mortality," with Christopher A. Afendulis and Michael E. Chernew, NBER Working Paper 19101 (June 2013).
- "Switching Costs in Markets for Health Care," with Laurence C. Baker and M. Kate Bundorf.
- "Can Ranking Hospitals on the Basis of Patients' Travel Distances Improve Quality of Care?" NBER Working Paper 11419.

# Nonacademic publications:

- "ObamaCare is Raising Insurance Costs," Wall Street Journal, June 4, 2013, p. A13.
- "The Coming ObamaCare Shock," Wall Street Journal, April 30, 2013, p. A17.
- "ObamaCare's Broken Promises," Wall Street Journal, February 1, 2013, p. A13.
- "Real Medicare Reform," National Affairs, Fall 2012.
- "The Wrong Remedy for Health Care," Wall Street Journal, June 29, 2012, p. A13.
- "ObamaCare's Bogus Cost Savings," Wall Street Journal, March 14, 2012, p. A12.
- "Medicare Reform: Obama vs. Ryan," with John Taylor, Wall Street Journal, August 17, 2011, p. A15.
- "How Health Reform Punishes Work," Wall Street Journal, April 25, 2011, p. A15.
- "ObamaCare and the Truth About Cost Shifting," with John F. Cogan and R. Glenn Hubbard, Wall Street Journal, March 11, 2011, p. A15.
- "Voters on Obamacare: Informed and Opposed," with David W. Brady and Douglas Rivers, Wall Street Journal, October 15, 2010, p. A17.
- "Health Care: The Prognosis," with John F. Cogan, *Hoover Digest* (2010).
- "A Better Way to Reform Health Care," with John F. Cogan and R. Glenn Hubbard, Wall Street Journal, February 25, 2010, p. A13.

- "Health Care Is Hurting Democrats," with David W. Brady and Douglas Rivers, *Wall Street Journal*, January 19, 2010, p. A17.
- "Public Opinion and Health Reform," with David W. Brady, Wall Street Journal, October 22, 2009, p. A15.
- "Doubling Down on a Flawed Insurance Model," with John F. Cogan and R. Glenn Hubbard, Wall Street Journal, September 25, 2009, p. A15.
- "The Uninsured's Hidden Tax on Health Insurance Premiums in California: How Reliable is the Evidence?" with John F. Cogan, Matthew Gunn, and Evan J. Lodes, *Hoover Essays in Public Policy* (2007).
- "Not a Panacea..." with John F. Cogan and R. Glenn Hubbard, Wall Street Journal, February 9, 2006, p. A12.
- "Keep Government Out," with John F. Cogan and R. Glenn Hubbard, Wall Street Journal, January 13, 2006, p. A12.
- "Reforming Health Care," Hoover Digest (2005).
- "Reforming Malpractice Liability," Hoover Digest (2005).
- "Brilliant Deduction" with John F. Cogan and R. Glenn Hubbard, Wall Street Journal, December 8, 2004, p. A12.
- "Healthy, Wealthy, and Wise," with John F. Cogan and R. Glenn Hubbard, Wall Street Journal, May 4, 2004, p. A20.
- "Want to Sue HMOs? It'll Cost You," Wall Street Journal, July 25, 2001, p. A16.
- "The Economic Effects of the Liability System," Hoover Essays in Public Policy (1998).
- "Robbing Smokers to Pay Lawyers," with Jeremy Bulow, Wall Street Journal, April 7, 1998, p. A16.
- "If You Smoke, Florida Wants to Tax You," with Jeremy Bulow, Wall Street Journal, November 26, 1997, p. A16.

#### **Case Studies:**

- "Asian Neighborhood Design," with Lauren Dutton and Melinda Tuan, Stanford GSB (1998) (updated in 2003 by Rick Aubry and Susan Mackenzie).
- "The Roberts Enterprise Development Fund," with Lauren Dutton, Jed Emerson, and Melinda Tuan, Stanford GSB (1998).
- "Echelon and the Home Automation Standard," with David Baron, Keith Krehbiel, Erik Johnson, and Michael Ting, Stanford GSB (1997).
- "The European Union Carbon Tax," with David Baron and Daniel Diermeier, Stanford GSB (1996).

# **Unpublished reports:**

- "Cost Shifting in California Hospitals: What is the Effect on Private Payers?" for the California Foundation for Commerce and Education (2007).
- "The Determinants of the Cost of Medical Liability Insurance," for Physician Insurers Association of America (2006).
- "The Effects of Behavioral Health Interventions on Health Care Costs," for the Foundation for Better Health (2005).
- "The Effects of Pharmaceutical Price Controls on the Cost and Quality of Medical Care: A Review of the Empirical Literature," for Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America (2004).
- "The Impact of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 on Skilled Nursing Care in California," with Chris Afendulis, Jeffrey Geppert, and Owen Kearney, for the California Health Care Foundation (2003).

#### Referee/reviewer:

American Cancer Society; American Economic Review; Health Affairs; Journal of Health Economics; Journal of Health Politics, Policy, and Law; Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization; Journal of Law and Economics; Journal of Legal Studies; Journal of Political Economy; National Science Foundation; National Institutes of Health; RAND Journal of Economics; Quarterly Journal of Economics