

**Before the  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554**

Coalition of 83 Organizations, Elected Officials, & Individuals Submit Comments

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Restoring Internet Freedom	)	WC Docket No. 17-108
	)	

Respectfully,

Katherine McAuliffe  
Executive Director  
Digital Liberty  
202-785-0266  
[kmcauliffe@atr.org](mailto:kmcauliffe@atr.org)

722 12<sup>th</sup> Street NW  
Fourth Floor  
Washington, D.C.  
20005

**Before the  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554**

August 16, 2017

**RE: WC Docket No. 17-108 In the Matter of Restoring Internet Freedom**

Dear Chairman Pai, Commissioner O’Rielly, and Commissioner Clyburn:

These coalition comments are updated from the July 17, 2017 submission with additional signatures that include **83 organizations, elected officials, and individuals opposed to the Federal Communications Commission’s Title II regulatory expansion.**

We, the undersigned organizations, represent millions of Americans concerned about the overreach of the Federal government. **We write to voice our support for returning the Internet to the light touch regulatory approach that allowed the Internet to take off.**

There was a bipartisan “Hands off the Net!” consensus championed by both former President Bill Clinton and former Speaker of the House Newt Gingrich, and by other leading members of both parties — until the FCC made two sweeping claims of power over the Internet in the name of protecting “net neutrality”:

1. **2010:** The FCC claimed the power to do anything, over any form of communications (not just broadband) that might somehow (however tenuously) promote broadband under **Section 706**.
2. **2015:** The FCC declared that broadband was a common carrier service subject to **Title II** of the Communications Act of 1934 — a regulatory regime designed for the old Ma Bell telephone monopoly and rotary dial phones connected by actual operators.

No government agency should be trusted with such vast powers — but especially not the FCC, an agency so prone to politicization and regulatory capture.<sup>1</sup> Congress simply could not have intended to give the FCC a blank check to regulate the Internet back in 1996. In fact, the 1996 Telecom Act could hardly have been more clear, declaring that “It is the policy of the United States... to preserve the vibrant and competitive free market that presently exists for the Internet and other interactive computer services, unfettered by Federal or State regulation.”<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.newsweek.com/lessig-its-time-demolish-fcc-83409>

<sup>2</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 230(b)(2).

In 1998, Democratic Senators John Kerry and Ron Wyden urged the FCC not to apply Title II to Internet access services, warning that doing so “seriously would chill the growth and development of advanced services.”<sup>3</sup>

The Internet should be policed just like every other sector of the economy — through consumer protection and competition laws that apply equally to broadband providers, web companies, and nearly every other business in America. But the Internet should *not* be regulated as a utility. No one thinks of government-run utilities — electricity, water, or sewage — as cutting-edge or innovative. Everyone, though, recognizes the boundless potential of the Internet — and the impossibility of predicting how it will evolve. The “Hands off the Net” approach — the deliberate decision *not* to impose detailed, rigid rules on the Internet — is precisely what allowed it to evolve to meet the changing needs of families, websites, content creators, innovators and businesses across America.

**Imposing Title II regulations on ISPs means the Internet experience will no longer be shaped by consumers — but instead by government.** Rather than being able to respond to what American households want and need in terms of content, advances in technology, information access, and delivery methods, the Internet experience would be determined by regulators who would have control over rates, types of services, and service footprints. Title II also opens the door to new meddling by state and local governments. Congress created the “information service” classification in Title I precisely to avoid this outcome.

**Broadband investment is key to economic growth,** but Title II has already reduced network investment. Some cite to publicly available data showing an increase in infrastructure investment, but those estimates include foreign ISP infrastructure investment in Mexico. Title II caused \$3.3 billion in capital flight in the six largest ISPs alone — costing 20 American jobs for every million dollars in capital flight — or 66,000 jobs total.<sup>4</sup> Other estimates project that nearly 174,000 additional broadband related jobs could be lost by 2020 as a result of the decline in investment caused by Title II.<sup>5</sup>

Using a difference-in-differences methodology (the best measure of lost investment), one study found that telecommunications investment dropped 20-30% between 2011-2015 as a result of uncertainty caused by the imposition of Title II, costing roughly \$160-200 billion in total investment over five years.<sup>6</sup> A second study, using a slightly modified data sample

---

<sup>3</sup> Letter from Senators John Ashcroft, Wendell Ford, John Kerry, Spencer Abraham, and Ron Wyden to the Honorable William E. Kennard, Chairman, FCC (Received Mar. 23, 1998), <http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/document/view?id=2038710001> (emphasis added)

<sup>4</sup> Hal Singer, "Does The Tumble In Broadband Investment Spell Doom For The FCC's Open Internet Order?," Forbes, September 08, 2015, , accessed June 23, 2017, <https://www.forbes.com/sites/halsinger/2015/08/25/does-the-tumble-in-broadband-investment-spell-doom-for-the-fccs-open-internet-order/#692029281ef5>.

<sup>5</sup> Will Rinehart, "Title II Reclassification Negatively Impacts Jobs and Investment," American Action Forum, January 14, 2015, , accessed June 23, 2017, <https://www.americanactionforum.org/research/error-174233-jobs-not-found-under-title-ii/>.

<sup>6</sup> G.S. Ford, Net Neutrality, Reclassification and Investment: A Counterfactual Analysis, PHOENIX CENTER POLICY PERSPECTIVE No.

based on responses to the first, found a \$150 billion reduction in investment.<sup>7</sup> While it is always difficult to estimate such alternative worlds, it *is* clear that if Title II had never been imposed, we would have seen more American jobs and more investment on the Internet.

**Innovation and investment require that government's role be clear, consistent and limited.** The “general conduct standard” invented by the Title II order is hopelessly vague. When asked what this standard meant, Former FCC Chairman Tom Wheeler simply said: “we don't really know.” This is really no standard at all, because it leaves the regulator with unchecked discretion. No business can plan its investments under such uncertainty or threat of arbitrary enforcement.

**Low barriers to entry increase competition and thereby promote reliable Internet access.** Far from “clamping down on big guys,” looming legal uncertainty about how the FCC will regulate the Internet hurts small Internet providers most — those that connect people in unserved and underserved areas. The coming next few years will see the deployment of 5G wireless technology, which avoids the huge expenses of wiring the “last mile.” This could fundamentally change the competitive dynamics of the broadband market, erasing the line between wireless and wireline services, and driving an unprecedented level of competition, at least in most markets. Discouraging such new entry would only harm consumers.

**We urge the FCC to return to the demonstrated success of the light touch regulatory model.** The Internet thrived nearly twenty years under a “Hands off the Net!” bipartisan consensus against Internet regulation. We urge the FCC to return to that approach. Ultimately, it is Congress alone that should decide how to update communications law.

Regards,

**August 15, 2017 signatures:**

---

17-02 (April 25, 2017) (available at: <http://phoenix-center.org/perspectives/Perspective17-02Final.pdf>).

<sup>7</sup> George Ford, “Net Neutrality, Reclassification and Investment: A Further Analysis,” Phoenix Center, May 16, 2017, accessed June 23, 2017, <http://www.phoenix-center.org/perspectives/Perspective17-03Final.pdf>.

Jim Waters  
President & CEO  
Bluegrass Institute for  
Public Policy Solutions

Norman Singleton  
President  
Campaign for Liberty

Andrew F. Quinlan  
President  
Center for Freedom & Prosperity

Wayne Crews  
Director of Technology Studies  
Competitive Enterprise Institute

George Landrith  
President  
Frontiers of Freedom

Kelly McCutchen  
President & CEO  
Georgia Public Policy Foundation

Mario Lopez  
President  
Hispanic Leadership Fund

Cathy Nichols  
Executive Director  
Informed Women's Network

John Dodd  
President  
Jesse Helms Center

Tim Jones  
Fmr. Speaker  
Missouri House

Pete Sepp  
President  
National Taxpayers Union

Josh Crawford &  
Jordan Harris  
Executive Directors  
Pegasus Institute

Daniel Erspamer  
CEO  
Pelican Institute

Bill Peacock  
Vice President of Research  
Texas Public Policy Foundation

**July 17, 2017 signatures:**

Grover G. Norquist  
President  
Americans for Tax Reform

Leigh Hixon  
Senior Director of Policy Relations  
Alabama Policy Institute

Phil Kerpen  
President  
American Commitment

Governor Paul LePage  
Maine

Daniel Schneider  
Executive Director  
American Conservative Union

Steve Pociask  
President  
American Consumer Institute  
Center for Citizen Research

Lisa Nelson  
CEO  
American Legislative Exchange Council

Christine Harbin  
Vice President External Affairs  
Americans for Prosperity

Robert Alt  
President & CEO  
The Buckeye Institute

Jeffrey Mazzella  
President  
Center for Individual Freedom

Grant Maloy  
Chairman  
Center Right Coalition of Orlando

Chuck Muth  
President  
Citizen Outreach

Michael J. Bowen  
CEO  
Coalition for a Strong America

Matthew Kandrach  
President  
Consumer Action for a Strong Economy

Col. Francis X. De Luca USMR (Ret)  
President  
Civitas Institute

Katie McAuliffe  
Executive Director  
Digital Liberty

Hance Haney  
Senior Fellow  
Discovery Institute

Adam Brandon  
President  
FreedomWorks Foundation

Annette Meeks  
CEO  
Freedom Foundation of Minnesota

Richard Watson  
Chairman  
Florida Center/Right Coalition

David Barnes  
Director of Policy Engagement  
Generation Opportunity

Ray Chadwick  
Chairman  
Granite State Taxpayers

Joseph Bast  
CEO  
The Heartland Institute

Mike Krause  
Director, Public Affairs  
Director, Local Colorado Project  
Independence Institute

Andrew Langer  
President  
Institute for Liberty

Tom Giovanetti  
President  
Institute for Policy Innovation

Seton Motley  
President  
Less Government

Daniel Garza  
President  
The LIBRE Initiative

Bartlett Cleland  
Managing Principal  
Madery Bridge

Dee Hodges  
President  
Maryland Taxpayers Association, Inc

Mike Wendy  
President  
MediaFreedom

Henry Kriegel  
President  
Montanans for Tax Reform

Brent Mead  
CEO  
Montana Policy Institute

Scott Cleland  
Chairman  
NetCompetition

Lorenzo Montanari  
Executive Director  
Property Rights Alliance

Don Racheter, Ph.D.  
President  
Public Interest Institute

Mike Stenhouse  
CEO  
Rhode Island Center for  
Freedom & Prosperity

Paul Gessing  
President  
Rio Grande Foundation

Tom Struble  
Tech Policy Manager  
R Street Institute

Karen Kerrigan  
President & CEO  
Small Business & Entrepreneurship  
Council

James L. Martin  
Founder & Chairman  
60 Plus Association

David Williams  
President  
Taxpayers Protection Alliance

Berin Szoka  
President  
TechFreedom

Gerrye Johnston  
Founder/CEO  
Women for Democracy in America, Inc.

**Maine:**

Mary Adams  
Chair  
Maine Center-Right Coalition

Jim Goff  
Chair  
Bangor Savings Bank Foundation\*

Penny Morrell  
State Director  
Concerned Women for America of Maine\*

Susan Hamill  
President  
JFLLC\*

Victoria Bucklin  
Co-Chair  
Mid-Coast Chapter Informed Women's  
Network, Writers Group\*

Susan Dench  
Author and Organizer  
Informed Women's Network\*

Bryan Dench Esq  
Maine attorney\*

Pem Schaeffer  
Maine blogger\*

Robert Stone  
Board Member  
Maine Turnpike Authority\*

Tom Davis  
Waterville business owner\*

**New Hampshire:**

The Honorable William O'Brien  
Former Speaker, NH House of  
Representatives  
Co-chair, New Hampshire Center Right  
Coalition

The Honorable Stephen Stepanek  
Former Chairman, NH House Ways &  
Means Committee  
Co-chair, New Hampshire Center Right  
Coalition

The Honorable Norman Tregenza  
Former State Representative  
Carroll County (NH) District 2

The Honorable Paul Mirski  
Former State Representative  
Grafton County (NH)

Representative Peter Hansen  
Hillsborough County (NH) District 22

Representative David Murotake  
Hillsborough County (NH) District 32

Representative Jordan Ulery  
Hillsborough County (NH) District 37

Representative Greg Hill  
Merrimack County (NH) District 3

Representative Carol McGuire  
Merrimack County (NH) District 29

Representative James Spillane  
Rockingham County (NH) District 2  
Co-chair, NH House Republican Alliance\*

Representative Chris True  
Rockingham County (NH) District 4

Representative Dan Itse  
Rockingham County (NH) District 10

The Honorable Joe Duarte  
Former State Representative  
Rockingham County (NH)

Representative Joe Pitre  
Strafford County (NH) District 2

Representative Kurt Wuelper  
Strafford County (NH) District 3

---

\* Indicates that organization is listed for affiliation  
only, signing on behalf of self