	Case 5:15-md-02617-LHK Documen	nt 929-1 Filed 01/04/18 Page 1 of 3
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		OSE DIVISION
10	IN RE ANTHEM, INC. DATA BREACH LITIGATION,	Case No. 15-MD-02617-LHK
11		MDL No. 2420
12		[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION OF ADAM SCHULMAN TO APPOINT
13		SPECIAL MASTER
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Case No. 15-MD-02617-LHK	DE ADAM COULT MANITO ADDOINT CDOCTAL MACTED
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION OF ADAM SCHULMAN TO APPOINT SPECIAL MASTER	

Having considered class member and objector Adam Schulman's Motion to Appoint a Special Master, together with the objection of Adam Schulman, the briefs in support and in opposition to the motion, and the relevant rules and precedent, it is hereby ordered that the motion is GRANTED as follows:

- (1) The Court will appoint a special master to conduct an accounting of class counsel's lodestar, to inquire into any fee-sharing agreements, and to propose a division of the total fee among plaintiffs' counsel.
- (2) Interested class members will be permitted to participate in the proceedings in front of the special master to avoid an unhelpful *ex parte* presentation. To the extent that it becomes necessary, the Court will consider appointing a guardian *ad litem* to protect the class's interests in these proceedings.
- (3) Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 53(g)(2)(B), the master's compensation will be paid from a deduction in a fee that will be awarded to class counsel.

The Court finds that the exercise of its discretion to appoint a special master for these purposes under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(h)(4), 53(a) and 54(d)(2)(D) is justified by several factors. First, plaintiffs' counsel claim to have expended more than 78,000 billable hours on this litigation that is less than two years old, and have submitted lodestar time from 53 firms. There appear to be excesses, duplication, inefficiency, and overbilling within the proclaimed hours. For example, more than 34,000 of those hours were spent reviewing documents at hourly rates up to \$500. Counsel has not demonstrated that paying clients would pay such a rate for that work. Second, the declaration in support of the fee motion lacks sufficient detail for this Court to determine whether the claimed hours were beneficial to the class or whether they were unnecessarily duplicative and wasteful. Third, class counsel has not proposed a division of the fee award nor have they provided the court with any information regarding fee-sharing arrangements to which class counsel intend to adhere. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(h) obligates this Court to oversee the distribution of fees amongst various counsel. Because class counsel's fee motion has necessitated the referral to the special master, this Court in its discretion will deduct the master's compensation from the fee ultimately award to counsel. See Advisory Committee Notes to 2003 Amendments to Rule 53 ("A party whose unreasonable behavior has occasioned the need to appoint a master...may be charged all or a major portion of the master's fees.").

## Case 5:15-md-02617-LHK Document 929-1 Filed 01/04/18 Page 3 of 3

Within days of this order, any interested parties may submit the names of candidates for
appointment in accordance with Rule 53(b)(1). After that time the Court will select a master and issue a further
order delineating the exact scope of his or her duties under Rule 53(a); the time by which the master should
submit a Report and Recommendation; the circumstances (if any) in which the master may communicate ex
parte with the Court or a party; any limitations on the master's authority under Rule 53(c); the nature of the
materials to be preserved and filed as a record of the master's activities; and the process by which parties may
object to orders of the master. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 53(b)(2). The appointment order will only issue after the
selected master has filed an affidavit disclosing that there are no grounds for disqualification under 28 U.S.C.
§ 455. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 53(b)(3).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2018

The Honorable Lucy H. Koh U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE