

46 E. 74th st. New York.

Jan 7th, 1882.

Well, have you had happy holidays? The idea of coming to New York for quiet! One might suppose you had never been here before. Your reception went off charmingly. But how could you close the ceremonies by kissing that baby? I thought of Pickwick - & almost died laughing. Shall I tell you something about my holidays? Will you promise not to laugh at me? By way of making merry, I spent Christmas in bed. The family - nieces & nephews of all sorts & sizes - were having a gay time down stairs - I heard the laughing voices & the music quite distinctly. After a while someone closed the parlor door & the sounds grew fainter. Then the front door bell rang sharply & a moment later I heard somebody

coming up stairs. It was my sister of an invalid - when something she came into my room, carrying startled me. I looked up - my an exquisite horseshoe of flowers. sister was not standing there, "This is for you," she said, as she holding that card, & there were placed it beside me, "but we can no flowers beside me - only a not make out who sent it." She glass of ice water & a bottle of held up a card - there was nothing camphor - perhaps the latter on it but a monogram in purple suggested the spicy fragrance of ink. I saw - suddenly out - & was those carnations?" I was surprised surprised. Can you guess whose it again. Are you laughing? Well, go was? I won't tell you! But the on - laughter is healthful - but don't flowers were beautiful - ah, how be sarcastic. How could I help lovely those pink rosebuds were - what I saw - far less what other how sweet that mignonette - what people chose to do - when my eyes a spicy fragrance those carnations were shut? As soon as they were had - & there was a whole row open, I knew that his pensees of rich, velvety pansies - the pansies were anywhere except with me. that I loved when I was a little Still, for a mid winter day dream, girl, long before it was fashionable dont you think it was rather poetic? to care for them. I was lingering But this is miles away from over my flowers with the ~~rapte~~ what I meant to talk about. devotion of a child of nature - In her book on Germany, the serene vanity of a society Mad. de Staél says: "Les poètes, la woman - the morbid tenderness bière et la fumée de tabac forment

autour des gens du peuple une sorte d'atmosphère lourde et chaude dont ils n'aiment pas à sortir.

Is there a political frying-pan, beer & tobacco-smoke atmosphere, from which politicians do not like to emerge? Perhaps I ought not to reproach you with it, for you have emerged from it so much more than was at one time expected. And yet there are moments when the suspicion seizes me, that you plunge back into it again, when the eyes of the world are not fixed upon you. Is this true? Please let me find out that I am mistaken.

It all reminds me of a story - possibly you know it - about a mother & son - they were French, distinguished - & the story is rather French too. He was the model of son, so devoted, so deferential,

obedient, as only a French son could be. And she was a model mother - had absolute confidence in him - never asked where he was going, or where he had been - never criticised his friends, or gave advice unasked (like some women you never heard of) but always killed the fatted calf for him, & piled it high with bread & molasses & all that sort of thing. And all the while this good mother kept a detective following her delightful son, & so knew all about him that there was to know. I forgot how the story ended - whether he was very naughty, or only moderately so. But don't you think she would have been a very happy mother, if the detective had come back & said to her: "Madame, you are entirely mistaken. Your son is all that you could wish him to be."?

Now I feel exactly as if I were

your mother - which you must of Judge Gray - & of Mr Trillinghousen.
own is generous, considering you are as regards the Secretary of State, I ad-
old enough to be mine! - & I mit that I was wr - did you think
follow your career with the I was going to say wrong? Oh no! -
closest interest. Whenever you do wright, only you were wrighter. (The
anything that is good, I am de- is the aesthetic way of spelling it.) He
lighted. Often I am really proud ought to be appointed in reference
of you - when suddenly my eye to foreign affairs, not home politics.
falls on some tiny paragraphs Besides, thinking B. over, I fear no
which chills me through. Then I amount of good motive would
send out my detective after you. make him a statesman - his
not literally - if you took the whom brain is not that shape. And I
to send one after me, you might, liked the appointment of Mr Brewster
but I do not indulge in such - until I read somewhere that he
luxuries - it is only the detective was a man of great ability & weak
in my own nature - the cold, conscience. That sticks a splinter
questioning, skeptical part of my in my memory. How finely the Star
mind, which says: "This does not Route prosecutions begin, is nothing
prove that," & "That does not prove to me - I am waiting for the end.
anything," & then climbs up on the And what of Mr Howe? People say
fence to watch you - a man, he is a nobody. But he is worse
some task, by-the-way.

Do you want to know what
delighted me? The appointment

of Judge Gray - & of Mr Trillinghousen.
as regards the Secretary of State, I ad-
mit that I was wr - did you think
I was going to say wrong? Oh no! -
wright, only you were wrighter. (The
is the aesthetic way of spelling it.) He
ought to be appointed in reference
to foreign affairs, not home politics.
Besides, thinking B. over, I fear no
amount of good motive would
make him a statesman - his
brain is not that shape. And I
liked the appointment of Mr Brewster
- until I read somewhere that he
was a man of great ability & weak
conscience. That sticks a splinter
in my memory. How finely the Star
Route prosecutions begin, is nothing
to me - I am waiting for the end.
And what of Mr Howe? People say
he is a nobody. But he is worse
than a nobody - he is father-in-law
to the Star Route defense. Do you
not think, of two such ugly twos

should stand together, they would make a remarkably ugly four? Was your selection of Mr H. a blunder? - or was it something worse? I would be sorry to have you blunder - but I would rather think it a blunder, than anything else. There - have I said too much?

But several things, have troubled me lately. Oswego is not a great city - but is it true that you removed the Collector there, said to be a respectable, upright man, doing his duty satisfactorily, & gave the place to a machine politician? If so, what is the use in talking about Civil Service Reform? And did you pardon a man in New York & another in Pennsylvania for embezzlement? How can you hold out such reward to rascals & throw such discouragement on honest labour? Is that a

3

right use of power? And there are things which the newspapers do not say, which puzzle me. How is it possible for the highest officer in the land to come from Washington to New York, & it not be generally known? Yet I have an impression that you have been here - perhaps more than once - when the papers best acquainted with your doings & desires, have not mentioned the fact. Do you remember any other President as restless as yourself - who was, rushing home every few weeks? If, as Washington gossip hints, you are engaged - & wish to see the lady without having her name dragged before the public - of course the end justifies the means. But if that is not the reason, why should there be any mystery about your movements? If you want to see people, they ought to come to you. If they

are people you ought not to have around you in the White House, are you sure it is wise for you to have them around you anywhere? Let me beg of you in no respect to lead a double life. Insincerity so degrades a man & poisons the social atmosphere. Think of how high your position is - how wide your influence. You have a grand opportunity for doing good - would you be willing in after years to look back & feel that you had wasted it? Of all your friends, do you know which ones I like best to hear of being with you? Your children. They are your guardian angels. When you think of what it would be if your son did anything wrong & quoted you as his example - if the little girl grew up & knowing the world better, lost faith in you -

I think you would never set an example that he might not follow, nor do anything to shake her faith.

At last I am going away - my trunks are half packed & I am half dead. But the other half is rather tough & means to fight for life. I am going where there will be more quiet & fewer newspapers - where I can, if I try, for a time, forget politics - & you. But I do not want you to forget me - at least, I mean what I have said to you. I oppose merely what hundreds feel. The people long to have faith in you - they wish to give you the most earnest support & every now & then you do something to startle their distrust. Those around you, I fancy, do not tell you this - perhaps it would be very impolite if they did. And the newspapers -

which possibly you have not much time to read - do ^{not} express all of public sentiment. They utter public opinion as fast as it is formed, but the process of crystallization is something they cannot put in print. What editor will confess himself anxious & bewildered? Or be responsible for the spread of some dark suspicion which may, at last, prove unfounded? And yet this undercurrent of anxiety & doubt, if augmented, may sometime suddenly rise to the surface & form an opposition more powerful than anything that you have dreamt of. If you mean to do right, do it with an emphasis that is unimpassable. And never imagine that you can accomplish any great good, & keep on pleasant terms with everybody. That theory does for the nursery, not for the world. To fight the good fight, means to receive, more than to give, grievous

blows & wounds. In the cloister it^{4.} may be easy to lead the higher life, but outside it is a hard struggle. And suffering is the first condition of accomplishing any great good. Cannot you accept the condition - be willing to suffer for the sake of the good? You are strong - able to endure - why should you exact that life be smooth? From where you stand now, can you not see how much more noble & beautiful life will seem to you in old age, if you can feel that when power was in your hands, you used it only for the purest purposes? Even if you can not do all that needs to be done, the effort is honorable. There is defeat which has the flavor of victory in it. Who calls that man a failure, who dies defending his country's flag? And what of the success of him, who, by deserting it, saves his life? The lowest

kind of failure is to succeed in ignoble things. People call you "a shrewd politician". Does that satisfy you? To me it means almost degradation - the using of great ability for small ends. My ambition for you is larger. Look at the careers of some of the shrewdest politicians - what have they amounted to? There was Cardinal Wolsey - what a pathos & a warning there is in his remorseful cry: "If I had served my God, as I have served my King". And what a pitious wail comes from the death bed of that prince of shrewd politicians Talleyrand, on the emptiness of life - ah, yes, the emptiness of his own.

The vital question before the country today is Civil Service Reform. The vital question before you is how you will meet it.

Evasion in any form will be a proof of weakness. Yet if you fight the rampant evil - though more than half the country will back you - you will do it at your own risk. Are you a coward? Do you fear to face the same danger that Garfield faced? It is for you to choose. Are you content to sit, like a snake-charmer, & let loathesome serpents coil about you, priding yourself on it that not one of them dares sting you? I would rather think of you, like St George, in shining armor, striking death to the heart of the dragon.

Goodbye.



Yours sincerely,
J. J. S.
Julia S. Sands
Hon. C. A. Arthur.